arXiv:1406.6657v2 [math.FA] 7 Mar 2016

Comparison of spectral radii and Collatz-Wielandt numbers for homogeneous maps, and other applications of the monotone companion norm on ordered normed vector spaces

Horst R. Thieme*
School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-1804, USA

March 7, 2014

Abstract

It is well known that an ordered normed vector space X with normal cone X_+ has an order-preserving norm that is equivalent to the original norm. Such an equivalent order-preserving norm is given by

$$\sharp x\sharp = \max\{d(x, X_+), d(x, -X_+)\}, \qquad x \in X. \tag{0.1}$$

This paper explores the properties of this norm and of the half-norm $\psi(x) = d(x, -X_+)$ independently of whether or not the cone is normal. We use ψ to derive comparison principles for the solutions of abstract integral equations, derive conditions for point-dissipativity of nonlinear positive maps, compare Collatz-Wielandt numbers, bounds, and order spectral radii for bounded homogeneous maps and give conditions for a local upper Collatz-Wielandt radius to have a lower positive eigenvector.

*(hthieme@asu.edu)

Keywords: Ordered normed vector space, homogeneous map, normal cone, cone spectral radius, Collatz-Wielandt numbers, Krein-Rutman theorem, nonlinear eigenvectors, comparison theorems, basic reproduction number

1 Introduction and exposé of concepts and results

For models in the biological, social, or economic sciences, there is a natural interest in solutions that are positive in an appropriate sense, i.e., they take their values in the cone of an ordered normed vector space.

1.1 Cones and their properties

A closed subset X_+ of a normed real vector space X is called a *wedge* if

(i) X_+ is convex,

(ii) $\alpha x \in X_+$ whenever $x \in X_+$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

A wedge is called a *cone* if

(iii) $X_+ \cap (-X_+) = \{0\}$.

Nonzero points in a cone or wedge are called *positive*.
A wedge is called *solid* if it contains interior points.
A wedge is called *generating* if

$$X = X_+ - X_+, \tag{1.1}$$

and *total* if X is the closure of $X_+ - X_+$.

We introduce the properties of cones that will be needed in this exposé. Details and more properties will be discussed in Section 2.

A cone X_+ is called *normal*, if there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|x + z\| \geq \delta \text{ whenever } x \in X_+, z \in X_+, \|x\| = 1 = \|z\|. \tag{1.2}$$

Equivalent conditions for a cone to be normal are given in Theorem 2.1.

X_+ is called an inf-*semilattice* [1] (or *minihedral* [20]) if $x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$ exist for all $x, y \in X_+$.

X_+ is called a sup-*semilattice* if $x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}$ exist for all $x, y \in X_+$.

X_+ is called a *lattice* if $x \wedge y$ and $x \vee y$ exist for all $x, y \in X_+$.

X is called a *lattice* if $x \vee y$ exist for all $x, y \in X$.

Since $x \wedge y = -((-x) \vee (-y))$, also $x \wedge y$ exist for all x, y in a lattice X.

In function spaces, typical cones are formed by the nonnegative functions.

If X_+ is a cone in X, we introduce a partial order on X by $x \leq y$ if $y - x \in X_+$ for $x, y \in X$ and call X an *ordered normed vector space*.

Every ordered normed vector space carries the monotone companion half-norm $\psi(x) = d(x, -X_+)$,

$$\psi(x) \leq \psi(y), \qquad x, y \in X, x \leq y,$$

and the monotone companion norm $\sharp x \sharp = \max\{\psi(x), \psi(-x)\}$. See [2], [21, (4.2)], [9, L.4.1]. The cone X_+ is normal if and only if the monotone companion norm is equivalent to the original norm. By the open mapping theorem, X cannot be complete with respect to both norms unless X_+ is normal. The properties of the companion (half) norm are studied in Section 3.

1.2 Positive and order-preserving maps

Throughout this paper, let X be an ordered normed vector space with cone X_+. We use the notation

$$\dot{X} = X \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{X}_+ = X_+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

Definition 1.1. Let X and Z be ordered vector space with cones X_+ and Z_+ and $U \subseteq X$.

Let $B : U \to Z$. B is called *positive* if $B(U \cap X_+) \subseteq Y_+$.

B is called *order-preserving* (or monotone or increasing) if $Bx \leq By$ whenever $x, y \in U$ and $x \leq y$.

Positive linear maps from X to Y are order-preserving. In the following, we describe some applications of the monotone companion (half-) norm. Other applications can be found in [2].

1.3 Positivity of solutions to abstract integral inequalities

We consider integral inequalities of the following kind on an interval $[0, b]$, $0 < b < \infty$,

$$u(t) \geq \int_0^t K(t,s)u(s)ds, \qquad t \in [0,b]. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $u : [0, b] \to X$ is a continuous function, $K(t, s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq b$, are bounded linear positive operators such that, for each $x \in X$, $K(t, s)x$ is a continuous function of (t, s), $0 \leq s \leq t \leq b$. The monotone companion half-norm makes it possible to prove the following result without assuming that the cone X_+ is normal (Section 6).

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be an ordered Banach space. Let $u : [0, b] \to X$ be a continuous solution of the inequality (1.3). Then $u(t) \in X_+$ for all $t \in [0, b]$.*

1.4 Towards a global compact attractor for nonlinear positive maps

The monotone companion half-norm can also be useful for normal cones. We use it to prove the following result which is important for discrete dynamical systems on cones (Section 7).

Theorem 1.3. *Let X_+ be a normal cone and $F : X_+ \to X_+$. Assume that there exist real constants $c, \tilde{c} > 0$ and a linear, bounded, positive map $A : X \to X$ with spectral radius $\mathbf{r}(A) < 1$ and these properties:*

For any $x \in X_+$ with $\|x\| \geq c$ there exists some $y \in X$
with $\|y\| \leq \tilde{c}$ and $F(x) \leq A(x) + y$.

Then, for any bounded subset B of X_+ there exists a bounded subset $\tilde{B}$ of X_+ such that $F^n(B) \subseteq \tilde{B}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, F is point-dissipative: There exists some $\hat{c} > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|F^n(x)\| \leq \hat{c}, \quad x \in X_+.$$

If, in addition, F is continuous and power compact (or, more generally, asymptotically smooth [35, Def.2.25]), then there exists a compact subset K of X_+ such that $F(K) = K$ and, for every bounded subset B of X_+, $d(F^n(x), K) \to 0$ uniformly for $x \in B$.

F is power compact if F^m is a compact map for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.5 Spectral radii for homogeneous, bounded, order-preserving maps

For a linear bounded map B on a complex Banach space, the spectral radius of B is defined as

$$\mathbf{r}(B) = \sup\{|\lambda|; \lambda \in \sigma(B)\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\sigma(B)$ is the spectrum of B,

$$\sigma(B) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(B), \tag{1.5}$$

and $\rho(B)$ the resolvent set of B, i.e., the set of those $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $\lambda - B$ has a bounded everywhere defined inverse. The following alternative formula holds,

$$\mathbf{r}(B) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|B^n\|^{1/n}, \tag{1.6}$$

which is also meaningful in a real Banach space. If B is a compact linear map on a complex Banach space and $\mathbf{r}(B) > 0$, then there exists some $\lambda \in \sigma(B)$ and $v \in X$ such that $|\lambda| = \mathbf{r}(B)$ and $Bv = \lambda v \neq 0$. Such an λ is called an eigenvalue of B. This raises the question whether $\mathbf{r}(B)$ could be an eigenvalue itself. There is a positive answer, if B is a positive operator and satisfies some generalized compactness assumption.

Positive linear maps are order-preserving. They have the remarkable property that their spectral radius is a spectral value [8] [33, App.2.2] if X is a Banach space and X_+ a normal generating cone.

The celebrated Krein-Rutman theorem [23], which generalizes parts of the Perron-Frobenius theorem to infinite dimensions, establishes that a compact positive linear map B with $\mathbf{r}(B) > 0$ on an ordered Banach space X with total cone X_+ has an eigenvector $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $Bv = \mathbf{r}(B)v$ and a positive bounded linear eigenfunctional $v^* : X \to \mathbb{R}$, $v^* \neq 0$, such that $v^* \circ B = \mathbf{r}(B)v^*$.

This theorem has been generalized into various directions by Bonsall [7] and Birkhoff [5], Nussbaum [29, 30], and Eveson and Nussbaum [15] (see these papers for additional references).

1.5.1 Homogenous maps

In the following, X, Y and Z are ordered normed vector spaces with cones X_+, Y_+ and Z_+ respectively.

Definition 1.4. $B : X_+ \to Y$ is called *(positively) homogeneous (of degree one)*, if $B(\alpha x) = \alpha B(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x \in X_+$.

Since we do not consider maps that are homogeneous in other ways, we will simply call them homogeneous maps. If follows from the definition that

$$B(0) = 0.$$

Homogeneous maps are not Frechet differentiable at 0 unless $B(x + y) = B(x) + B(y)$ for all $x, y \in X_+$. For the following holds.

Proposition 1.5. *Let $B : X_+ \to Y$ be homogeneous. Then the directional derivatives of B exist at 0 in all directions of the cone and*

$$\partial B(0, x) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{B(tx) - B(0)}{t} = B(x), \qquad x \in X_+.$$

There are good reasons to consider homogeneous maps. Here is a mathematical one.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $F : X_+ \to Y$ and $u \in X$. Assume that the directional derivatives of F at u exist in all directions of the cone. Then the map $B : X_+ \to Y_+$, $B = \partial F(u, \cdot)$,*

$$B(x) = \partial F(u, x) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{F(u + tx) - F(u)}{t}, \qquad x \in X_+,$$

is homogeneous.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Obviously, if $\alpha = 0$, $B(\alpha x) = 0 = \alpha B(x)$. So we assume $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\frac{F(u + t[\alpha x]) - F(u)}{t} = \alpha \frac{F(u + [t\alpha]x) - F(u)}{t\alpha}.$$

As $t \to 0$, also $\alpha t \to 0$ and so the directional derivative in direction αx exists and

$$\partial F(u, \alpha x) = \alpha F(u, x). \qquad \square$$

Another good reason are mathematical population models that take into account that, for many species, reproduction involves a mating process between two sexes. The maps involved therein are not only homogeneous but also order-preserving.

Actually, the eigenvector problem for homogeneous maps is quite different from the one for linear maps. Consider the following simple two sex population model $x_n = Bx_{n-1}$ where $x_n = (f_n, m_n)$ and $Bx = (B_1x, B_2x)$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(f,m) =& p_f f + \beta_f \frac{fm}{f+m}, \\ B_2(f,m) =& p_m m + \beta_m \frac{fm}{f+m}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

This system models a population of females and males which reproduce once a year with f_n and m_n representing the number of females and males at the beginning of year n. The numbers p_f, p_m are the respective probabilities of surviving one year. The harmonic mean describes the mating process and the parameters β_f and β_m scale with the resulting amount of offspring per mated pair.

B always has the eigenvectors $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ associated with p_f and p_m respectively. Looking for a different eigenvalue, λ, of B, we can assume that $f + m = 1$ and obtain

$$\frac{\lambda - p_f}{\beta_f} = m, \qquad \frac{\lambda - p_m}{\beta_m} = f, \qquad m + f = 1.$$

We add and solve for λ,

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta_f\beta_m + \beta_m p_f + \beta_f p_m}{\beta_f + \beta_m}$$

and then for m and f,

$$m = \frac{\beta_m + p_m - p_f}{\beta_f + \beta_m}, \qquad f = \frac{\beta_f + p_f - p_m}{\beta_f + \beta_m}.$$

The eigenvector (m, f) lies in the biological relevant positive quadrant if and only if the eigenvalue λ is larger than the two other eigenvalues p_f and p_m.

We notice that we generically have three linearly independent eigenvectors (rather than at most two as for a 2×2 matrix) with the third being biological relevant if and only if it is associated with the largest eigenvalue.

The spectral radius of a positive linear map has gained considerable notoriety because of its relation to the basic reproduction number of population models which have a highly dimensional structure but implicitly assume a one to one sex ratio [3, 12, 13, 36, 42]. A spectral radius for homogeneous order-preserving maps should play a similar role as an extinction versus persistence threshold parameter for structured populations with two sexes [19].

1.5.2 Cone norms for homogeneous bounded maps

For a homogeneous map $B : X_+ \to Y$, we define

$$\|B\|_+ = \sup\{\|Bx\|; x \in X_+, \|x\| \leq 1\} \tag{1.8}$$

and call B *bounded* if this supremum is a real number. Since B is homogeneous,

$$\|Bx\| \leq \|B\|_+ \|x\|, \qquad x \in X_+. \tag{1.9}$$

Let $H(X_+, Y)$ denote the set of bounded homogeneous maps $B : X_+ \to Y$ and $H(X_+, Y_+)$ denote the set of bounded homogeneous maps $B : X_+ \to Y_+$ and $\mathrm{HM}(X_+, Y_+)$ the set of those maps in $H(X_+, Y_+)$ that are also order-preserving.

$H(X_+, Y)$ is a real vector space and $\|\cdot\|_+$ is a norm on $H(X_+, Y)$ called the cone-norm.

$H(X_+, Y_+)$ and $\mathrm{HM}(X_+, Y_+)$ are cones in $H(X_+, Y)$. We write $H(X_+) = H(X_+, X_+)$ and $\mathrm{HM}(X_+) = \mathrm{HM}(X_+, X_+)$.

It follows for $B \in H(X_+, Y_+)$ and $C \in H(Y_+, Z_+)$ that $CB \in H(X_+, Z_+)$ and

$$\|CB\|_+ \leq \|C\|_+ \|B\|_+.$$

1.5.3 Cone and orbital spectral radius

Let $B \in H(X_+)$ and define $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(n) = \ln \|B^n\|_+$. Then $\phi(m + n) \leq \phi(m) + \phi(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and a well-known result implies the following formula for the *cone spectral radius*

$$\mathbf{r}_+(B) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|_+^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|B^n\|_+^{1/n}, \tag{1.10}$$

which is analogous to (1.6). Mallet-Paret and Nussbaum [26, 27] suggest an alternative definition of a spectral radius for homogeneous (not necessarily bounded) maps $B : X_+ \to X_+$. First, define asymptotic least upper bounds for the geometric growth factors of B-orbits,

$$\gamma(x, B) := \gamma_B(x) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|B^n(x)\|^{1/n}, \qquad x \in X_+, \tag{1.11}$$

and then

$$\mathbf{r}_o(B) = \sup_{x \in X_+} \gamma_B(x). \tag{1.12}$$

Here $\gamma_B(x) := \infty$ if the sequence $(\|B^n(x)\|^{1/n})$ is unbounded and $\mathbf{r}_o(B) = \infty$ if $\gamma_B(x) = \infty$ for some $x \in X_+$ or the set $\{\gamma_B(x); x \in X_+\}$ is unbounded.

The number $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ has been called *partial spectral radius* by Bonsall [8], X_+ spectral radius by Schaefer [32, 33], and *cone spectral radius* by Nussbaum [30]. Mallet-Paret and Nussbaum [26, 27] call $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ the *Bonsall cone spectral radius* and $\mathbf{r}_o(B)$ the cone spectral radius. For $x \in X_+$, the number $\gamma_B(x)$ has been called *local spectral radius* of B at x by Förster and Nagy [16].

We will follow Nussbaum's older terminology which shares the spirit with Schaefer's [32] term X_+ *spectral radius* and stick with *cone spectral radius* for $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ and call $\mathbf{r}_o(B)$ the *orbital spectral radius* of B. Later, we will also introduce a *Collatz-Wielandt* spectral radius. We refer to all of them as *order spectral radii*.

One readily checks that

$$\mathbf{r}_+(\alpha B) = \alpha \mathbf{r}_+(B), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad \mathbf{r}_+(B^m) = (\mathbf{r}_+(B))^m, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.13}$$

The same properties hold for $\mathbf{r}_o(B)$ though proving the second property takes some more effort [26, Prop.2.1]. Actually, as we show in Section 3 for bounded B,

$$\gamma(x, B^m) = (\gamma(x, B))^m, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X_+, \tag{1.14}$$

which readily implies

$$\mathbf{r}_o(B) = (\mathbf{r}_o(B))^m, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.15}$$

The cone spectral radius and the orbital spectral radius are meaningful if B is just positively homogeneous and bounded, but as in [26, 27] we will be mainly interested in the case that B is also order-preserving and continuous.

Though the two concepts coincide for many practical purposes, they are both useful.

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be an ordered normed vector with cone X_+ and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be continuous, homogeneous and order-preserving.*

Then $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \geq \mathbf{r}_o(B) \geq \gamma_B(x)$, $x \in X_+$.

Further $\mathbf{r}_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ if one of the following hold:

(i) X_+ is complete and normal.

(ii) B is power compact.

(iii) X_+ is normal and a power of B is uniformly order-bounded.

(iv) X_+ is complete and B is additive ($B(x+y) = B(x) + B(y)$ for all $x \in X_+$).

The inequality is a straightforward consequence of the respective definitions. For the concepts and the proof of (iii) see Section 10 and Theorem 12.9. The other three conditions for equality have been verified in [26, Sec.2], (the overall assumption of [26] that X is a Banach space is not used in the proofs.) Statement (i) also follows from Theorem 9.4.

For a bounded positive linear operator on an ordered Banach space, the spectral radius and cone spectral radius coincide provided that the cone is generating [27, Thm.2.14]. This is not true if the cone is only total [8, Sec.2,8].

1.5.4 Lower Collatz-Wielandt numbers

For $x \in \dot{X}_+$, the *lower Collatz-Wielandt number* of x is defined as [16]

$$[B]_x = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0; Bx \geq \lambda x\}. \tag{1.16}$$

By (8.5), $[B]_x$ is a lower eigenvalue,

$$B(x) \geq [B]_x x, \qquad x \in \dot{X}_+. \tag{1.17}$$

The *lower local Collatz-Wielandt radius* of x is defined as

$$\eta_x(B) =: \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} [B^n]_x^{1/n}. \tag{1.18}$$

This implies

$$\eta_x(B^n) \leq (\eta_x(B))^n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.19}$$

The *lower Collatz-Wielandt bound* is defined as

$$cw(B) = \sup_{x\in\dot{X}_+} [B]_x, \tag{1.20}$$

and the *Collatz-Wielandt radius* of B is defined as

$$\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \sup_{x\in\dot{X}_+} \eta_x(B). \tag{1.21}$$

From the definitions, $cw(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B)$ and, by (1.19), $\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B^n) \leq (\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B))^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A homogeneous, bounded, order-preserving map $B : X_+ \to X_+$ is also bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm and $\sharp B \sharp_+ \leq \|B\|_+$. See Section 5. So we can define the companion cone spectral radius, $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B)$, and the companion orbital spectral radius, $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B)$, in full analogy to (1.10) and (1.12). If X_+ is normal (and the original and the companion norm are equivalent), the companion radii coincide with the original ones.

The monotonicity of the companion norm makes it possible to connect the Collatz-Wielandt radius and the other spectral radii by inequalities (Section 9).

Theorem 1.8. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving. Then*

$$cw(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) \leq \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{r}_o(B) \\ \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \end{array} \right\} \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B).$$

If X_+ is complete and B is continuous (all with respect to the original norm), then $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_o(B)$.

If B is also compact, the following progressively more general results have been proved over the years which finally lead to an extension of the Krein-Rutman theorem from linear to homogeneous maps.

Theorem 1.9. *Let B be compact, continuous, homogeneous and order-preserving and let r be any of the numbers $cw(B), \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B), \mathbf{r}_+(B)$. Then, if $r > 0$, there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ with $B(v) = rv$. More specifically,*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} r = cw(B) & : & \text{Krein-Rutman 1948 [23],} \\ r = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) & : & \text{Krasnosel'skii 1964 [20, Thm.2.5],} \\ r = \mathbf{r}_+(B) & : & \text{Nussbaum 1981 [29], Lemmens Nussbaum [25].} \end{array}$$

Actually, if $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$, then $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.

A proof for $r = cw(B)$ can also been found in [9]. [20, Thm.2.5] is only formulated for the case that B is defined and linear on X, but the proof also works under the assumptions made above. The case $r = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B)$ can also been found in [29, Cor.2.1]. The case $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ is basically proved in [29, Thm.2.1], but some finishing touches are contained in the introduction of [25]. If $B(v) = rv$ with $v \in \dot{X}_+$ and $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$, then $r \leq [B]_v \leq cw(B)$ and equality of all three numbers follows.

It is well-known that the compactness of B can be substantially relaxed though not completely dropped if B is linear [29]. This is also possible (to a lesser degree) if B is just homogeneous using homogeneous measures of noncompactness [26, 27]. We only mention two special cases of [26, Thm.3.1] and [27, Thm.4.9], respectively.

Theorem 1.10. *Let X_+ be complete. Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ and $B = K + H$ where $K : X_+ \to X_+$ is homogeneous, continuous, order preserving and compact and $H : X_+ \to X_+$ is homogeneous, continuous, and order preserving.*

Then there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ with $B(v) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)v$ if $\mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$ and one of the two following conditions is satisfied in addition:

(a) H is Lipschitz continuous on X_+, $\|H(x) - H(y)\| \leq \Lambda\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in X_+$, with $\Lambda < \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.

(b) X_+ is normal, H is cone-additive ($H(x + y) = H(x) + H(y)$ for all $x, y \in X_+$), and $\mathbf{r}_+(H) < \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.

The following observation is worth mentioning [21, Thm.9.3] [30, Thm.2.2].

Proposition 1.11. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be* positively linear*, i.e., $B(\alpha x+y) = \alpha B(x) + B(y)$ for all $x, y \in X_+$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. If $r > 0$, $w \in \dot{X}_+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B^m(w) = r^m w$, then $B(v) = rv$ for some $v \in \dot{X}_+$.*

Simply set

$$v = \sum_{j=10}^{m-1} r^{-j} B^j(w). \tag{1.22}$$

It seems to be an open problem (with a beer barrel scent [28, 41]) whether any of the results in Theorem 1.9 holds if B^2 or some higher power of B is compact rather than B itself. For additional conditions to make such a result hold, see [1, Thm.7.3], conditions (i) and (iii), and [37, Sec.7].

The construction in (1.22) can be modified to yield the following result.

Proposition 1.12. *Let X_+ be a sup-semilattice and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and order-preserving. If $r > 0$, $w \in \dot{X}_+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B^m(w) \geq r^m w$, then $B(v) \geq rv$ for some $v \in \dot{X}_+$.*

This time, choose v as in the proof of [1, Thm.5.1],

$$v = \sup_{j=0}^{m-1} r^{-j} B^j(w). \tag{1.23}$$

Notice that the number r in Proposition 1.12 satisfies $r \leq [B]_v \leq cw(B)$.

This observation provides conditions for equality to hold in Theorem 1.8. In order not to burden our representation with technical language or a list of various special cases and to include possible further developments, we make the following definition.

Definition 1.13. A homogeneous bounded map $B : X_+ \to X_+$ has the *KR property* (the Krein-Rutman property) if there is some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ with $B(v) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)v$ whenever $\mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$.

B has the *lower KR property* if there is some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ with $B(v) \geq \mathbf{r}_+(B)v$ whenever $\mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$.

The maps in Theorem 1.10 are examples that satisfy the KR property while power-compact, homogeneous, order-preserving, continuous maps on sup-semilattices are examples that satisfy the lower KR property (Proposition 1.12). $B : X_+ \to X_+$ is called *power-compact* if B^m is compact for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We emphasize the lower KR property in addition to the KR property because of our interest in population dynamics. If B is the homogeneous approximation of an nonlinear map F at 0, the condition $\mathbf{r}_+(B) < 1$ is often enough to guarantee the local (and some sometime the global) stability of 0 for the dynamical system induced by F whether or not $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ is an eigenvalue associated with a positive eigenvector. To prove persistence of the dynamical system, it is very helpful to have a positive lower eigenvector $B(v) \geq rv$ with $r > 1$ [19].

The lower KR property turns some of the inequalities in Theorem 1.8 into equalities.

Theorem 1.14. *Let B be bounded, homogeneous, order-preserving and B^m have the lower KR property for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) = \mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B) = \mathbf{r}_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B).$$

If X_+ is a sub-semilattice or $m = 1$, then also $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ and B has the lower KR property.

The significance of $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ is that the lower Collatz-Wielandt numbers $[B]_x$ provide lower estimates for $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ that get arbitrarily sharp by choosing $x \in \dot{X}_+$ in the right way. See Section 14 for some crude attempts in that direction for a rank-structured population model with mating.

Proof. The equalities follow from Theorem 1.8 if $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = 0$. So we can assume that $\mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$. If B has the lower KR property, it follows immediately from the definitions that $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \le cw(B)$ and equality follows from Theorem 1.8. If B^m has the lower KR property,

$$(\mathbf{r}_+(B)^m = \mathbf{r}_+(B^m) \le cw(B^m) \le \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B^m) \le (\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B))^m,$$

and the equalities follow again from Theorem 1.8. If $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$, there exists some $w \in \dot{X}_+$ with $B^m(w) \ge r^m w$. By Proposition 1.12, $B(v) \ge rv$ for some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ and $r \ge cw(B)$. □

The following monotone dependence of the various spectral radii on the map can be shown without assuming normality of the cone.

Theorem 1.15. *Let $A, B : X_+ \to X_+$ be bounded and homogeneous. Assume that $A(x) \le B(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$.*

If A is order-preserving and has the lower KR property, then $\mathbf{r}_+(A) = cw(A) \le cw(B)$.

1.5.5 Upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers

For $x \in \dot{X}_+$, the upper *Collatz-Wielandt numbers* of x [16] is defined as

$$\|B\|_x = \inf\{\lambda \ge 0; B(x) \le \lambda x\},$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$, and a *local upper Collatz-Wielandt radius* $\eta^u(B)$ at $u \in \dot{X}_+$,

$$\eta^u(B) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|_u^{1/n}.$$

Collatz-Wielandt numbers [16], without this name, became more widely known when Wielandt used them for a new proof of the Perron-Frobenius theorem [43]. We will use them closer to Collatz' original purpose, namely to prove inclusion theorems (Einschließungssätze) for $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ which generalize those in [10, 11].

If the cone X_+ is solid, with nonempty interior $\breve{X}_+$, one can define an *upper Collatz-Wielandt bound* (cf. [1, Sec.7]) by

$$CW(B) = \inf_{x \in \breve{X}_+} \|B\|_x.$$

These new numbers, bound, and radius relate to the former bounds and radii in the following way and show that upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers taken

at interior points provide upper estimates of the cone spectral radius. For this exposé, we illustrate our results for the special case of a solid cone.

Theorem 1.16. *Let X_+ be solid with nonempty interior $\breve{X}_+$ and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded and order-preserving. Then, for all $u \in \breve{X}_+$,*

$$\gamma_B(u) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|B^n(u)\|^{1/n}, \qquad \eta^u(B) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|B^n\|_u^{1/n}$$

and

$$cw(B) \le \mathbf{r}_{cw} \le \mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B) \le \eta^u(B) \le \begin{cases} \gamma_u(B) \\ CW(B) \end{cases} .$$

We obtain some equalities in the following cases.

- *If X_+ is normal, $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = \gamma_u(B) = \eta^u(B) \le CW(B)$ for all $u \in \breve{X}_+$.*
- *If B^m has the lower KR property for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \gamma_u(B) = \eta^u(B) \le CW(B)$ for all $u \in \breve{X}_+$.*
- *If B has the lower KR property, $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \gamma_u(B) = \eta^u(B) \le CW(B)$ for all $u \in \breve{X}_+$.*

Notice that the equality $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = \gamma_u(B) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|B^n(u)\|^{1/n}$ means that the cone spectral radius can be determined by following the growth factors of an arbitrarily chosen $u \in \breve{X}_+$.

The estimates from above by upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers $\|B\|_u$ with $u \in \breve{X}_+$ can be arbitrarily sharp by appropriate choice of u if the map is compact. The next result should be compared to [1, Thm.7.3]. Notice that $CW(B)$ here is $cw(B)$ in [1, Thm.7.3]. Use of the companion half-norm makes it possible to drop the normality of the cone.

Theorem 1.17. *Let X_+ be solid and complete. Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ and $B = K+A$ where $K : X_+ \to X_+$ is homogeneous, continuous, order preserving and compact and $A : X \to X$ is linear, positive, and bounded and $\mathbf{r}(A) < \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.*

Then $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \gamma_B(u) = \eta^u(B) = CW(B)$ for all $u \in \breve{X}_+$.

If $r = CW(B) > 0$, then there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(v) = rv$.

In Section 12, we prove more general versions of these theorems replacing solidity of the cone by uniform order-boundedness of the map.

Using the monotone companion half-norm, we show for certain classes of homogeneous maps B for which it is not clear whether they have the lower KR property that there is some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $Bv \geq rv$ for $r = \eta^u(B)$ provided that $r > 0$ (Section 13).

In Section 14, we illustrate some of our results in a discrete model for a rank-structured population with mating.

A version of this report will be published under a slightly different title [38].

2 More about cones

We start with some more cone properties.

The cone X_+ is called *regular* if any decreasing sequence in X_+ converges.

X_+ is called *fully regular* if any increasing bounded sequence in X_+ converges.

The norm of X is called *additive* on X_+ if $\|x + z\| = \|x\| + \|z\|$ for all $x, z \in X_+$. If the norm is additive, then the cone X_+ is normal.

2.1 Normal cones

The following result is well-known [20, Sec.1.2].

Theorem 2.1. *The following three properties are equivalent:*

(i) X_+ *is normal: There exists some* $\delta > 0$ *such that* $\|x+z\| \geq \delta$ *whenever* $x \in X_+$, $z \in X_+$ *and* $\|x\| = 1 = \|z\|$.

(ii) The norm is semi-monotonic: There exists some $M \geq 0$ *such that* $\|x\| \leq M\|x + z\|$ *for all* $x, z \in X_+$.

(iii) There exists some $\tilde{M} \geq 0$ *such that* $\|x\| \leq \tilde{M}\|y\|$ *whenever* $x \in X$, $y \in X_+$, *and* $-y \leq x \leq y$.

Remark 2.2. If X_+ were just a wedge, property (iii) would be rewritten as

> There exists some $\tilde{M} \geq 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq \tilde{M}\|y\|$ whenever $x \in X$, $y \in X_+$, and $y + x \in X_+$, $y - x \in X_+$.

Notice that this property implies that X_+ is cone: If $x \in X_+$ and $-x \in X_+$, then $0 + x \in X_+$ and $0 - x \in X_+$ and (iii) implies $\|x\| \leq \tilde{M}\|0\| = 0$.

Definition 2.3. An element $u \in X_+$ is called a *normal point* if the set $\{\|x\|; x \in X_+, x \leq u\}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

The following is proved in [21, Thm.4.1].

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be an ordered normed vector space with cone X_+. If X_+ is a normal cone, then all elements of X_+ are normal points and all sets $\{\|x\| \in X; u \leq x \leq v\}$ with $u, v \in X$ are bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.*

If all elements of X_+ are normal points and X_+ is complete or fully regular, then X_+ is a normal cone.

Proof. The first statement is obvious. Assume that X_+ is not a normal cone but complete or fully regular. Then there exist sequences (x_n) and (y_n) in X_+ such that $x_n \leq y_n$ and $\|x_n\| \geq 4^n \|y_n\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $v_n = \frac{y_n}{2^n \|y_n\|}$ and $u_n = \frac{x_n}{2^n \|y_n\|}$. Then $u_n \leq v_n$ and $\|v_n\| \leq 2^{-n}$ and $\|u_n\| \geq 2^n$. Since X_+ is complete or fully regular, the series $v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n$ converges in X_+ and $u_n \leq v$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So v is not a normal point. □

Completeness or full regularity of X_+ are necessary for the normality of X_+ as shown by the forthcoming Example 2.11.

Connections between completeness, normality, regularity and full regularity of cones are spelt out in the next result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be an ordered normed vector space with cone X_+.*

(a) If X_+ is complete and regular, then X_+ is normal.

(b) If X_+ is complete and fully regular, then X_+ is normal.

(c) If X_+ is normal and fully regular, then X_+ is regular.

(d) If X_+ is complete and fully regular, then X_+ is regular.

Proof. Notice that the proofs in [20, 1.5.2] and [20, 1.5.3] only need completeness of X_+ and not of X. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be an ordered normed vector space with cone X_+. If X_+ is complete with additive norm, then X_+ is fully regular.*

Proof. Let (x_n) be an increasing sequence in X_+ such that there is some $c > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \leq c$ for all $n \in N$. Define $y_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$. Then $y_n \in X_+$ and, for $m \geq j$, $\sum_{k=j}^{m} y_n = x_{m+1} - x_j$. Since the norm is additive on X_+,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \|y_n\| = \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{m} y_n \Big\| = \|x_{m+1} - x_1\| \leq 2c, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in the complete cone X_+ and thus converges. □

The standard cones of nonnegative functions of the Banach spaces $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, are regular and completely regular, while the cones of $BC(\Omega)$, the Banach space of bounded continuous functions, and of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ are neither regular nor completely regular. All these cones are normal. Forthcoming examples will present a cone that is regular, but neither completely regular, normal, nor complete.

2.2 An example where the cone is not normal: The space of sequences of bounded variation

Recall the Banach sequence spaces ℓ^∞, c, c_0 of bounded sequences, converging sequences and sequences converging to 0 with the supremum norm and the space ℓ^1 of summable sequences with the sum-norm.

The subsequent example for an ordered Banach space whose cone is not normal follows a suggestion by Wolfgang Arendt.

A sequence (x_n) in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called of *bounded variation* if the following series converges

$$|x_1| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| =: \|(x_n)\|_{bv}. \tag{2.1}$$

The sequences of bounded variation form a vector space, bv, over $\mathbb{R}$ with $\|\cdot\|_{bv}$ being a norm called the *variation-norm* [14, IV.2.8]. Notice

$$\ell^1 \subseteq bv \subseteq c, \qquad \begin{cases} \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_{bv}, & x \in bv, \\ \|x\|_{bv} \leq 2\|x\|_1, & x \in \ell_1. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.7. *bv with the variation-norm is a Banach space.*

This is easily seen from (2.2) and the fact that ℓ^∞ is complete under the sup-norm.

Notice that bv contains all constant sequences and $\|(x_n)\|_{bv} = \|x_1\| = \|(x_n)\|_\infty$ if (x_n) is a constant sequence. Actually, all monotone bounded nonnegative sequences are of bounded variation.

Lemma 2.8. *If (x_n) is a nonnegative bounded increasing sequence, then (x_n) is of bounded variation and $\|(x_n)\|_{bv} = \|(x_n)\|_\infty = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$.*

If (x_n) is a nonnegative decreasing sequence, then (x_n) is of bounded variation and $\|(x_n)\|_{bv} = 2x_1 - \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$.

There are several cones we can consider in bv. The one we are going to consider here is the cone of nonnegative sequences of bounded variation, bv_+. Others are the cone of nonnegative increasing sequences and the cone of nonnegative decreasing sequences.

Proposition 2.9. *bv_+ is generating, solid, but not normal (and not regular and not fully regular). X is a lattice and the lattice operations are continuous: If $x = (x_j) \in bv$, then $|x| = (|x_j|) \in bv$ and $\big\| |x| \big\|_{bv} \leq \|x\|_{bv}$ with strict inequality being possible.*

Notice that every monotone nonnegative sequence that is bounded away from zero is the interior of bv_+ as can be seen from (2.2). The space of Lipschitz continuous functions with Lipschitz norm is an example of an ordered normed vector space and lattice where the cone of nonnegative functions is not normal and the lattice operations are not continuous [26, p.535].

That bv_+ is not normal follows from the following result that characterizes the normal points. Since bv_+ is complete, bv_+ is then also not regular and not fully regular (Theorem 2.5).

Theorem 2.10. *$x = (x_n) \in bv_+$ is a normal point if and only if $x \in \ell_1$. If $x \in \ell_1$, then*

$$\|x\|_1 - (1/2)x_1 \leq \sup\{\|v\|_{bv}; v \in bv_+, x - v \in bv_+\} \leq 2\|x\|_1.$$

Proof. One half of the statement is easy to see. Recall (2.2). For the other part, assume that $x = (x_n) \in bv_+$ is a normal element. Let y be the sequence $(x_1, 0, x_3, 0, x_5, \ldots)$. Then $0 \leq y \leq x$ and $y \in bv$ and $\|y\|_{bv} = |x_1| + 2\sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_{2j+1}|$. Further let z be the sequence $(0, x_2, 0, x_4, 0, \ldots)$. Then $0 \leq z \leq x$ and $z \in bv$ and $\|z\|_{bv} = 2\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|x_{2j}\|$. Hence $x \in \ell^1$ and

$$2\|x\|_1 - x_1 = \|y\|_{bv} + \|z\|_{bv} \leq 2\sup\{\|v\|_{bv}; 0 \leq v \leq x\}. \qquad \square$$

Example 2.11 (Wolfgang Arendt)**.** The cone ℓ^1_+ in ℓ^1 with the variation norm is not normal though all points in ℓ^1_+ are normal elements. It is regular, but not fully regular.

Proof. Let x^m be the sequence where $x^m_j = 0$ for $j > 2m$, $x^m_j = 0$ for all odd indices and $x^m_j = 1$ otherwise. Let u^m be the sequence where $u^m_j = 0$ for $j > 2m$ and $u^m_j = 1$ otherwise. Then $\|u^m\|_{bv} = 2$ and $\|x^m\|_{bv} = 2m$. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^m \leq u^m$ but $\|x^m\|_{bv} = m\|u^m\|_{bv}$. So ℓ^1_+ is not normal under the variation norm. Theorem 2.4 implies that ℓ^1_+ is not fully regular under the variation norm. Let (x_n) be a decreasing sequence in ℓ^1_+. Since ℓ^1_+ is regular under the sum-norm, there exists some $x \in \ell^1_+$ with $\|x_n - x\|_1 \to 0$. By (2.2), $\|x_n - x\|_{bv} \to 0$. □

2.3 A convergence result

The following convergence principle, which has been distilled from the proof of [1, Thm.5.2], will be applied several times.

Proposition 2.12. *Let X be an ordered normed vector space. Let $S \subseteq X^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a set of sequences with terms in X with the property that with $(x_n) \in S$ also all subsequences $(x_{n_j}) \in S$. Assume that every increasing (decreasing) sequence $(x_n) \in S$ has a convergent subsequence. The every increasing (decreasing) sequence $(x_n) \in S$ converges.*

Proof. Let $(x_n) \in S$ be increasing (the decreasing case is similar). Then there exist a subsequence (x_{n_j}) and some $x \in X$ such that $x_{n_j} \to x$. Suppose that (x_n) does not converge to x. Then there exist some $\epsilon > 0$ and a strictly increasing sequence $(k_i) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x_{k_i} - x\| \geq \epsilon$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By assumption, $(x_{k_i}) \in S$; further it is an increasing sequence. So, after choosing a subsequence, $x_{k_i} \to y$ for some $y \neq x$. Fix n_j. If i is sufficiently large, $k_i \geq n_j$ and $x_{n_j} \leq x_{k_i}$. Taking the limit $i \to \infty$ yields $x_{n_j} \leq y$ because the cone is closed. Now we let $j \to \infty$ and obtain $x \leq y$. By symmetry, $y \leq x$, a contradiction. □

3 Local spectral radii

Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and $x \in X_+$. We prove (1.14),

$$\gamma(x, B^m) \leq (\gamma(x, B))^m, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.1}$$

with equality holding if B is bounded.

From the properties of the limit superior,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(x,B^m) &= \limsup_{k\to\infty} \|(B^m)^k(x)\|^{1/k} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{k\geq j} \|B^{mk}(x)\|^{m/(mk)} \\ &\leq \lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{n\geq mj} (\|(B^n(x)\|^{1/n})^m = \Big(\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(B^n(x)\|^{1/n}\Big)^m = (\gamma(x,B))^m.\end{aligned}$$

To prove the opposite inequality if B is bounded, suppose that $\gamma(x,B^m) < (\gamma(x,B))^m$. Then there exists some $s \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\gamma(x,B^m) < s^m(\gamma(x,B))^m.$$

By definition of $\gamma(x,B^m)$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|B^{mn}(x)\|^{1/(mn)} < s\gamma(x,B), \qquad n \geq N. \tag{3.2}$$

Choose a sequence (k_j) such that $k_j \to \infty$ and $\|B^{k_j}(x)\|^{1/k_j} \to \gamma(x,B)$. Then there exist sequences n_j and p_j such that $n_j \to \infty$ and $0 \leq p_j < m$ and $k_j = mn_j + p_j$. By (1.9),

$$\|B^{k_j}(x)\|^{1/k_j} \leq \|B^{p_j}\|_+^{1/k_j}\ \|B^{mn_j}(x)\|^{1/k_j}.$$

By the properties of the limit superior,

$$\gamma(x,B) \leq \limsup_{j\to\infty}(\|B^{p_j}\|_+^{1/k_j}) \limsup_{j\to\infty}(\|B^{mn_j}(x)\|^{1/(mn_j)})^{(k_j-p_j)/k_j}.$$

By (3.2) and $p_j/k_j \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(x,B) &\leq \limsup_{j\to\infty}(s\gamma(x,B))^{(k_j-p_j)/k_j} \\ &\leq \limsup_{j\to\infty}(s\gamma(x,B))^{1-(p_j/k_j)} = s\gamma(x,B),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

4 Monotone companion norm and half-norm

Every ordered normed vector space carries an order-preserving half-norm which we call the *(monotone) companion half-norm* (called the *canonical half-norm* in [2]).

Proposition 4.1 (cf. [2], [21, (4.2)], [9, L.4.1]). *We define the (monotone) companion half-norm $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \inf\{\|x+z\|; z \in X_+\} = d(x, -X_+) && (4.1)\\ &= \inf\{\|y\|; x \le y \in X\}, \qquad x \in X. && (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

Then the following hold:

(a) ψ is positively homogenous and order-preserving on X.

(b) ψ is subadditive on X ($\psi(x+y) \le \psi(x) + \psi(y)$, $x, y \in X$),

$$|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \le \|x - y\|, \qquad x, y \in X.$$

(c) For $x \in X$, $\psi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in -X_+$. In particular ψ is strictly positive: $\psi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \dot{X}_+$.

(d) X_+ is normal if and only if there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta\|x\| \le \psi(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$.

(e) If the original norm $\|\cdot\|$ is order-preserving on X_+, then $\|x\| = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$.

Here $d(x, -X_+)$ denotes the distance of x from $-X_+$.

Proof. The functional ψ inherits positive homogeneity from the norm. That ψ is order-preserving is immediate from (4.2). For all $x \in X$, $x \le x$ and so $\|x\| \ge \psi(x)$.

Most of the other properties follow from (4.1) and the assumption that X_+ is a cone.

Since ψ is subadditive,

$$|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \le \psi(x - y) \le \|x - y\|.$$

If $-x \in X_+$, then $x \le 0$ and $\psi(x) \le \|0\| = 0$.

Assume that $x \in X$ and $\psi(x) = 0$. By definition, there exists a sequence (y_n) in X with $\|y_n\| \to 0$ and $y_n \ge x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $y_n - x \in X_+$. Since X_+ is closed, $-x = \lim_{n\to\infty}(y_n - x) \in X_+$.

The strict positivity of ψ follows from $X_+ \cap (-X_+) = \{0\}$.

(d) Assume that X_+ is normal. By Theorem 2.1 (ii), there exists some $c > 0$ such that $\|x\| \le c\|y\|$ whenever $x, y \in X_+$ and $x \le y$. Hence $\|x\| \le c\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$. Set $\delta = 1/c$.

The converse follows from ψ being order-preserving and $\psi(x) \le \|x\|$ for all $x \in X_+$. □

One readily checks that the monotone companion half-norm inherits equivalence of norms.

Remark 4.2. If $\|\cdot\|_\sim$ is a norm on X that is equivalent to $\|x\|$, i.e., there exists some $c > 0$ such that $(1/c)\|x\| \le \|x\|_\sim \le c\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$, then then respective monotone companion half-norms satisfy $(1/c)\psi(x) \le \tilde{\psi}(x) \le c\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

The functional ψ induces a monotone norm on X which is equivalent to the original norm if and only if X_+ is a normal cone.

Theorem 4.3 (cf. [21, Thm.4.4])**.** *Define*

$$\sharp x \sharp = \max\{\psi(x), \psi(-x)\}, \qquad x \in X,$$

with ψ from Proposition 4.1. Then $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ is a norm on X with the following properties.

- *$\sharp x \sharp \le \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$, $\sharp x \sharp = \psi(x)$ if $x \in X_+$, and $\sharp x \sharp = \psi(-x)$ if $-x \in X_+$.*
- *$\sharp \cdot \sharp$ is order-preserving on X_+: $\sharp x \sharp \le \sharp y \sharp$ for all $x, y \in X_+$ with $x \le y$. Moreover, for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \le y \le z$,*

$$\sharp y \sharp \le \max\{\sharp x \sharp, \sharp z \sharp\}. \tag{4.3}$$

- *X_+ is a normal cone if and only if the norm $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ is equivalent to the original norm.*
- *If the original norm $\|\cdot\|$ is order-preserving on X_+, then $\|x\| = \sharp x \sharp$ for all $x \in X_+ \cup (-X_+)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see from the properties of ψ that $\sharp \alpha x \sharp = |\alpha| \sharp x \sharp$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$, and that $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ is subadditive. Now $\psi(x) \le \|x\|$ and $\psi(-x) \le \|-x\| = \|x\|$ and so $\sharp x \sharp \le \|x\|$.

To prove (4.3), let $x, y, z \in X$ and $x \le y \le z$. Then $y \le z$ and $-y \le -x$. Since ψ is order-preserving on X,

$$\psi(y) \le \psi(z) \le \sharp z \sharp, \qquad \psi(-y) \le \psi(-x) \le \sharp x \sharp.$$

(4.3) now follows from the definition of ψ as do most of the remaining assertions.

That $\|\cdot\|$ and $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ are equivalent norms if the cone is normal is shown in [21, Thm.4.4]. The converse follows from Theorem 2.1 (ii). □

Definition 4.4. The norm $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ is called the *(monotone) companion norm* on the ordered normed vector space X.

Corollary 4.5. *Let X_+ be a normal cone. Then there exists some $c \ge 0$ such that $\|y\| \le c \max\{\|x\|, \|z\|\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \le y \le z$.*

Proof. Let $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ be the monotone companion norm from Theorem 4.3, which is equivalent to the original norm because X_+ is normal. Choose $c \ge 0$ such that $\sharp x \sharp \le \|x\| \le c \sharp x \sharp$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x \le y \le z$. By Theorem 4.3,

$$\|y\| \le c \sharp y \sharp \le c \max\{\sharp x \sharp, \sharp z \sharp\} \le c \max\{\|x\|, \|z\|\}.$$ □

Corollary 4.6 (Squeezing theorem [21, Thm.4.3])**.** *Let X_+ be a normal cone. Let $y \in X$ and (x_n), (y_n), (z_n) be sequences in X with $x_n \le y_n \le z_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \to y$ and $z_n \to y$. Then $y_n \to y$.*

Proof. Notice that $x_n - y \le y_n - y \le z_n - y$. By Corollary 4.5, with some $c \ge 0$ that does not depend on n,

$$\|y_n - y\| \le c \max\{\|z_n - y\|, \|x_n - y\|\} \to 0.$$ □

Example 4.7. (a) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the absolute value. Then the monotone companion norm is also the absolute value and the companion half-norm is the positive part, $\psi(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the maximum norm and $X_+ = \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Then the monotone companion norm is also the maximum norm.

(c) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|\cdot\|$ being either the Euclidean norm or the sum norm and $X_+ = \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Then $\sharp x \sharp = \|x\|$ if $x \in X_+ \cup (-X_+)$ while $\sharp x \sharp$ is the maximum norm of x otherwise.

Example 4.8. Let X be a normed vector lattice [34, II.5]. Since $x \leq x^+$, $\psi(x) \leq \|x^+\|$. Let $x \leq y$. Then $x^+ \leq y^+$, and $\|x^+\| \leq \|y^+\| \leq \|y\|$. Hence $\|x^+\| \leq \psi(x)$. In combination,

$$\psi(x) = \|x^+\|, \qquad x \in X.$$

Further $\psi(-x) = \|(-x)^+\| = \|x^-\|$. So

$$\sharp x \sharp = \max\{\|x^+\|, \|x^-\|\}, \qquad x \in X,$$

and $\sharp x \sharp = \|x\|$ for all $x \in X_+ \cup (-X_+)$. If X is an abstract M space [34, II.7],

$$\sharp x \sharp = \|x^+ \vee x^-\| = \big\||x|\big\| = \|x\|.$$

We turn to ordered Banach space the cone of which may be not normal.

Example 4.9. Let $X \subseteq \tilde{X}$ where $X, \tilde{X}$ are ordered normed vector spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ and $\|x\| \geq \|x\|^\sim$ for all $x \in X$. Let $\psi, \tilde{\psi}$ be the respective monotone companion half-norms. Then $\psi(x) \geq \tilde{\psi}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Assume that $(\tilde{X}, \|\cdot\|^\sim)$ is an abstract M space. By the previous example,

$$\sharp x \sharp \geq \|x\|^\sim, \qquad x \in X.$$

Now assume that there exists some $u \in X$ with $\|u\| \leq 1$ and $|x| \leq \|x\|^\sim u$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\psi(\pm x) \leq \|x\|^\sim$ and $\sharp x \sharp \leq \|x\|^\sim$. In combination,

$$\sharp x \sharp = \|x\|^\sim, \qquad x \in X.$$

Example 4.10 (Space of sequences of bounded variation)**.** To determine the monotone companion (half-) norm in a concrete case where the cone is not normal we revisit the space bv of sequences of bounded variation with the variation-norm.

Recall that $bv \subseteq \ell^\infty$ and $\|x\|_{bv} \geq \|x\|_\infty$ for all $x \in bv$. ℓ^∞ is an abstract M space under the sup-norm. We apply the previous example with u being the sequence all the terms of which are 1. Then $\|u\|_{bv} = 1$ and $|x| \leq \|x\|_\infty u$. We obtain that $\sharp x \sharp = \|x\|_\infty$ for all $x \in bv$.

Notice that bv is not complete under the monotone companion norm. By the open mapping theorem, an ordered Banach space is complete under the monotone companion norm if and only if the cone is normal.

5 Order-preserving maps and the companion norm

In the following, let X and Y be ordered normed vector spaces. We use the same symbols $\|\cdot\|$, ψ and $\sharp\cdot\sharp$ for the norms and the monotone companion (half-) norms on X and Y.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $B : X_+ \to Y_+$ be bounded, homogeneous, and order-preserving. Then $\psi(B(x)) \le \|B\|_+\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$. In particular, B is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norms and $\sharp B\sharp_+ \le \|B\|_+$.*

Proof. Since B is order-preserving, for $x \in X_+$,

$$\{y \in Y; B(x) \le y\} \supseteq \{B(z); x \le z \in X_+\}.$$

By definition of ψ,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(B(x)) &\le \inf\{\|B(z)\|; z \in X_+, x \le z\} \le \inf\{\|B\|_+\|z\|; x \le z \in X\} \\ &= \|B\|_+\psi(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let $B : X \to Y$ be bounded, linear and positive. Then B is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm, $\psi(Bx) \le \|B\|\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and $\sharp B\sharp \le \|B\|$.*

Proof. By a similar proof as for Theorem 5.1, since B is order-preserving, $\psi(Bx) \le \|B\|\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\psi(-Bx) = \psi(B(-x)) \le \|B\|\psi(-x)$. The assertion now follows from $\sharp x\sharp = \max\{\psi(x), \psi(-x)\}$. □

Various concepts of continuity are preserved if one switches from the original norm to the monotone companion norm. We only look at the most usual concept here.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $B : X \to Y$ be order-preserving and continuous at x with respect to the original norms. Then B is continuous at x with respect to the monotone companion norms.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and B be continuous at x. Let $y \in X$ as well.

For any $z \ge y - x$, $z \in X$, we have

$$B(y) - B(x) = B(x + y - x) - B(x) \le B(x + z) - B(x).$$

By definition of the monotone companion half-norm,

$$\psi(B(y) - B(x)) \leq \|B(x+z) - B(x)\|, \qquad y - x \leq z \in X.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists some $\delta_+ > 0$ such that $\|B(x+z) - B(x)\| < \epsilon$ for all $z \in X$, $\|z\| < \delta_+$. Now let $y \in X$ and $\psi(y-x) < \delta_+$. Then there exists some $z \in X$ such that $y - x \leq z$ and $\|z\| < \delta_+$. Then $\|B(x+z) - B(x)\| < \epsilon$ and $\psi(B(y) - B(x)) < \epsilon$.

Also, for any $z \in X$ with $x - y \leq z$, we have

$$B(x) - B(y) = B(x) - B(x - (x - y)) \leq B(x) - B(x - z).$$

By definition of the monotone companion half-norm

$$\psi(B(x) - B(y)) \leq \|B(x) - B(x-z)\|, \qquad z \in X, x - y \leq z.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists some $\delta_- > 0$ such that $\|B(x) - B(x-z)\| < \epsilon$ for all $z \in X$, $\|z\| < \delta_-$. Now let $y \in Y$ and $\psi(x - y) < \delta_-$. Then there exists some $z \in X$ such that $x - y \leq z$ and $\|z\| = \| - z\| < \delta_-$. Thus $\psi(B(x) - B(y)) < \epsilon$.

Set $\delta = \min\{\delta_+, \delta_-\}$ and $\sharp y - x\sharp < \delta$. Then $\psi(\pm(y - x)) < \delta_\pm$ and $\psi(\pm(B(y) - B(x)) < \epsilon$. This implies $\sharp B(y) - B(x)\sharp < \epsilon$. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\phi : X_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be homogeneous.*

(a) If ϕ is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm, then it is bounded with respect to the original norm and $\|\phi\|_+ \leq \sharp\phi\sharp_+$.

(b) If ϕ is order-preserving and bounded with respect to the original norm, then it is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm and $\|\phi\|_+ = \sharp\phi\sharp_+$. Further $\phi(x) \leq \psi(x)\|\phi\|_+$ for all $x \in X_+$.

Proof. (a) Let $x \in X_+$. Since ϕ is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm,

$$\phi(x) \leq \sharp\phi\sharp_+ \, \sharp\, x\sharp \leq \sharp\phi\sharp_+ \, \|x\|.$$

(b) This follows from part (a) and Theorem 5.1. □

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be linear.*

(a) If ϕ is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm, then it is bounded with respect to the original norm and $\|\phi\| \leq \sharp\phi\sharp$.

(b) If ϕ is positive and bounded with respect to the original norm, then it is bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm and $\|\phi\| = \sharp\phi\sharp$ and $\phi(x) \le \|\phi\|\psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. (a) The proof is similar to the one for Proposition 5.4.

(b) follows from part (a) and Theorem 5.2 and the fact that the monotone half-norm on $\mathbb{R}$ is given by the positive part. □

Let X_+^* be the dual wedge of positive linear functionals on X that are bounded with respect to the original norm $\|\cdot\|$. By Proposition 5.5, X_+^* is also the dual wedge of linear functions that are bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm $\sharp\cdot\sharp$ and the norms induced on X^* are the same on X_+^*.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $x^* \in X_+^*$ such that $x^*x = \psi(x)$ and $\|x^*\| = \sharp x^*\sharp \le 1$. If $x \in X_+$, $\|x^*\| = 1$ can be achieved.*

Actually

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \max\{x^*x; x^* \in X_+^*, \|x^*\| \le 1\}, \qquad x \in X, \\ \psi(x) &= \max\{x^*x; x^* \in X_+^*, \|x^*\| = 1\}, \qquad x \in X_+. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Further [21, (4.3)], for all $x \in X$,

$$\sharp x\sharp = \max\{|x^*x|; x \in X_+^*, \|x^*\| = 1\} = \max\{|x^*x|; x \in X_+^*, \|x^*\| \le 1\} \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Recall that X_+^* and its norm do not depend on whether we consider X with the original norm or its monotone companion norm (Proposition 5.5).

Let $u \in X$. By [44, IV.6], we find $x^* \in X^*$ with $x^*u = \psi(u)$ and

$$-\psi(-x) \le x^*x \le \psi(x), \qquad x \in X,$$

and so

$$|x^*x| \le \sharp x\sharp \le \|x\|, \qquad x \in X.$$

Since $\psi(-x) = 0$ for all $x \in X_+$, $x^* \in X_+^*$. By Proposition 5.5, $\sharp x^*\sharp = \|x^*\| \le 1$.

Now let $x \in X_+$. Then $x^*x = \psi(u) = \sharp u\sharp$ and so $\sharp x^*\sharp = 1$.

Notice that we have proved $\le$ in (5.1).

Suppose that $\psi(u) > \psi(-u)$. Then there exists $x^* \in X_+^*$ with $\sharp x^*\sharp \le 1$ such that $x^*u = \psi(u) = \sharp u\sharp$. Hence $\sharp x^*\sharp = 1$.

If $\psi(u) < \psi(-u)$, there exists $x^* \in X_+^*$ with $\sharp x^* \sharp \leq 1$ such that $x^*(-u) = \sharp u \sharp = |x^* u|$. Again $\sharp x^* \sharp = 1$.

If $\psi(u) = \psi(-u) > 0$, then $u, -u \notin X_+$ and we can make the same conclusion.

It remains the case $\psi(u) = \psi(-u) = 0$. But then $u = 0$, and all equalities hold trivially.

So the $\leq$ inequalities hold in (5.2).

The $\geq$ inequalities follow from Proposition 5.5 (b). □

Corollary 5.7. *X_+ is closed with respect to the monotone companion norm.*

Proof. Let (x_n) be a sequence in X_+, $x \in X$ and $\sharp x_n - x \sharp \to 0$. Suppose that $x \notin X_+$. By a theorem of Mazur [44, IV.6.Thm.3'], there exists a bounded linear $\phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x) < -1$ and $\phi(y) \geq -1$ for all $y \in X_+$. Let $z \in X_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $nz \in X_+$ and $\phi(nz) \geq -1$. So $\phi(z) \geq -1/n$. We let $n \to \infty$ and obtain $\phi(z) \geq 0$. By Proposition 5.5, ϕ is continuous with respect to the monotone companion norm. So $0 \leq \phi(x_n) \to \phi(x)$ and $\phi(x) \geq 0$, a contradiction. □

6 Positivity of solutions to abstract integral inequalities

We consider integral inequalities of the following kind on an interval $[0, b]$, $0 < b < \infty$,

$$u(t) \geq \int_0^t K(t,s)u(s)ds, \qquad t \in [0,b]. \tag{6.1}$$

Here $u : [0, b) \to X$ is a continuous function, $K(t, s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq b$, are bounded linear positive operators such that, for each $x \in X$, $K(t, s)x$ is a continuous function of (t, s), $0 \leq s \leq t \leq b$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be an ordered Banach space. Let $u : [0, b] \to X$ be a continuous solution of the inequality (6.1). Then $u(t) \in X_+$ for all $t \in [0, b]$.*

Proof. We define $v : [0, b) \to X$ by $v(t) = -u(t)$. Then

$$v(t) \leq \int_0^t K(t,s)v(s)ds, \qquad t \in [0,b]. \tag{6.2}$$

Since the monotone companion half-norm ψ is order-preserving,

$$\psi(v(t)) \le \psi\Big(\int_0^t K(t,s)v(s)ds\Big), \qquad t \in [0,b].$$

Since ψ is convex and homogeneous,

$$\psi(v(t)) \le \int_0^t \psi(K(t,s)v(s))ds, \qquad t \in [0,b]. \tag{6.3}$$

By Theorem 5.2,

$$\psi(K(t,s)u(s)) \le \|K(t,s)\|\psi(s), \qquad 0 \le s \le t \le b.$$

By the uniform boundedness theorem, there exists some $c \ge 0$ such that $\|K(t,s)\| \le c$ whenever $0 \le s \le t \le b$. So

$$\psi(K(t,s)v(s)) \le c\psi(v(s)), \qquad 0 \le s \le t \le b. \tag{6.4}$$

We substitute the last inequality into (6.3),

$$\psi(v(t)) \le c\int_0^t \psi(v(s))ds, \qquad t \in [0,b].$$

Let $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$e^{-\lambda t}\psi(v(t)) \le c\int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}e^{-\lambda s}\psi(v(s))ds, \qquad t \in [0,b].$$

Define $\alpha(\lambda) = \sup_{0\le t\le b} e^{-\lambda t}\psi(v(t))$. Then

$$e^{-\lambda t}\psi(v(t)) \le c\int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\alpha(\lambda)ds \le c\frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{\lambda}, \qquad 0 \le t \le b,$$

and

$$\alpha(\lambda) \le c\frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{\lambda}.$$

Choosing $\lambda > 0$ large enough, $\alpha(\lambda) \le 0$ and, since it is nonnegative, $\alpha(\lambda) = 0$. This implies $\psi(v(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in [0,b]$. By Proposition 4.1, $v(t) \in -X_+$ and so $u(t) = -v(t) \in X_+$ for all $t \in [0,b]$. □

7 Towards a compact attractor of bounded sets

The monotone companion half-norm can also be useful if the cone X_+ is normal. The following result generalizes [35, Prop.7.2].

Proposition 7.1. *Let X_+ be a normal cone and $F : X_+ \to X_+$. Assume that there exist some linear, bounded, positive map $A : X \to X$, $\mathbf{r}(A) < 1$, and real numbers $R, c > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for any } x \in X_+ \text{ with } \|x\| \leq R \text{ there exists some } y \in X \\ &\text{with } \|y\| \leq c \text{ and } F(x) \leq A(x) + y. \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Then, after introducing an equivalent norm, there exists some $\tilde{R} > 0$ such that

$$F(X_+ \cap \bar{B}_s) \subset \bar{B}_s, \quad s \geq \tilde{R},$$

for all closed balls $\bar{B}_s$ with radius $s \geq \tilde{R}$ and the origin as center. Further, there exists some $\hat{R} > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|F^n(x)\| \leq \hat{R}, \quad x \in X_+.$$

Proof. Since X_+ is normal, the original norm is equivalent to its monotone companion norm $\sharp \cdot \sharp$. Let ψ be the monotone companion half-norm. Then (7.1) can be rewritten as

$$\psi(F(x) - A(x)) \leq c, \qquad x \in X_+, \|x\| \geq R. \tag{7.2}$$

In a next step we can switch to an equivalent monotone norm, denoted by $\|\cdot\|^\sim$, such that the associated operator norm of A satisfies $\|A\|^\sim < 1$,

$$\|x\|^\sim = \sum_{k=0}^{m} r^{-m} \sharp A^k(x) \sharp, \qquad x \in X,$$

where $\mathbf{r}_+(A) < r < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen large enough such that $\|A^{m+1}\| < r^{m+1}$. See [20, 2.5.2]. Then $\|A\|^\sim \leq r < 1$. Let $\tilde{\psi}$ be the monotone companion half-norm of $\|\cdot\|^\sim$. Since $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ is order-preserving on X_+, $\|x\|^\sim = \tilde{\psi}(x)$ for $x \in X_+$.

The inequality (7.2) remains valid for $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ after possibly changing R and c.

So, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is order-preserving on X_+, $\|A\| < 1$, and the monotone companion half-norm of $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies (7.2).

Since ψ is monotone and subadditive on X, for $x \in X_+$ with $\|x\| \geq R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x)\| =& \psi(F(x)) \leq \psi(F(x) - Ax) + \psi(Ax) \\ \leq& c + \|Ax\| \leq c + \|A\|\,\|x\|. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Choose some $\xi \in (\|A\|, 1)$. Let $\breve{R} > R$. Then, if $x \in X_+$ and $\|x\| \geq \breve{R}$,

$$\|F(x)\| \leq \xi\|x\| + c + (\|A\| - \xi)\|x\| \leq \xi\|x\| + c + (\|A\| - \xi)\breve{R}.$$

Choosing $\breve{R} > R$ large enough, we can achieve that $c + (\|A\| - \xi)\breve{R} \leq 0$ and

$$\|F(x)\| \leq \xi\|x\|, \qquad \|x\| \geq \breve{R}. \tag{7.4}$$

Set $\tilde{R} = \max\{\breve{R}, c + \|A\|\breve{R}\}$. By (7.3) and (7.4),

$$\|F(x)\| \leq \max\{\tilde{R}, \xi\|x\|\}, \qquad x \in X_+.$$

For any $s \geq \tilde{R}$, $\|x\| \leq s$ implies $\|F(x)\| \leq s$. By (7.4) $\|F^n(x)\| \leq \xi^n\|x\|$ as long as $\|F^n(x)\| \geq \tilde{R} \geq \breve{R}$. Once $\|F^m(x)\| \leq \tilde{R}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|F^n(x)\| \leq \tilde{R}$ for all $n \geq m$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ be an equivalent norm such that there is some $\tilde{R} > 0$ such $F(X_+ \cap \bar{B}_s) \subseteq (\bar{B}_s)$ for all $s \geq \tilde{R}$ where $\bar{B}_s$ is the closed ball with radius s and the origin as center taken with respect to $\|\cdot\|^\sim$. Let B be a bounded subset of X_+ with respect to the original norm. Then $B \subseteq \bar{B}_s$ for some $s \geq \tilde{R}$. By Proposition 7.1, $F^n(B) \subseteq \bar{B}_s$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that $\tilde{B} = B_s$ is bounded with respect to the original norm. The statement concerning the compact attractor K follows from [35, Thm.2.33]. □

8 The space of certain order-bounded elements and some functionals

Definition 8.1. Let $x \in X$ and $u \in X_+$. Then x is called *u-bounded* if there exists some $c > 0$ such that $-cu \leq x \leq cu$. If x is u-bounded, we define

$$\|x\|_u = \inf\{c > 0; -cu \leq x \leq cu\}. \tag{8.1}$$

The set of u-bounded elements in X is denoted by X_u. If $x, u \in X_+$ and x is not u-bounded, we define

$$\|x\|_u = \infty.$$

Two elements v and u in X_+ are called *comparable* if v is u-bounded and u is v-bounded, i.e., if there exist $\epsilon, c > 0$ such that $\epsilon u \le v \le cu$. Comparability is an equivalence relation for elements of X_+, and we write $u \sim v$ if u and v are comparable. Notice that $X_u = X_v$ if and only if $u \sim v$.

If X is a space of real-valued functions on a set Ω,

$$\|x\|_u = \sup\Big\{\frac{|x(\xi)|}{u(\xi)}; \xi \in \Omega, u(\xi) > 0\Big\}.$$

Since the cone X_+ is closed,

$$-\|x\|_u u \le x \le \|x\|_u u, \qquad x \in X_u. \tag{8.2}$$

X_u is a linear subspace of X, $\|\cdot\|_u$ is a norm on X_u, and X_u, under this norm, is an ordered normed vector space with cone $X_+ \cap X_u$ which is normal, generating, and has nonempty interior.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$. Then the following hold:*

(a) $\sharp x \sharp \le \|x\|_u \, \sharp\, u\sharp = \|x\|_u \max\{d(u, -X_+), d(u, X_+)\}, \qquad x \in X_u.$

(b) u is a normal point of X_+ if and only if there exists some $c \ge 0$ such that $\|x\| \le c\|x\|_u$ for all $x \in X_u \cap X_+$.

(c) If X_+ is solid and u in the interior of X_+, then $X = X_u$, $d(u, X \setminus X_+) > 0$, and

$$\|x\| \ge \|x\|_u \, d(u, X \setminus X_+), \qquad x \in X.$$

(d) In turn, if $X_u = X$ and there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|x\| \ge \epsilon\|x\|_u$ for all $x \in X$, then u is an interior point of X_+.

(e) If X_+ is solid and u is both an interior and a normal point of X_+, then $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_u$ are equivalent.

Proof. (a) By (8.2), if $x \in X_u$,

$$x, -x \le \|x\|_u u.$$

Since the companion functional is order-preserving on X,

$$\psi(x) \le \|x\|_u \psi(u), \qquad \psi(-x) \le \|x\|_u \psi(u)$$

and so $\sharp x \sharp \le \|x\|_u \sharp u \sharp$.

(b) Let u be normal point of X_+. Then there exists some $c > 0$ such that $\|y\| \le c$ for all $y \in X_+$ with $y \le u$. For $x \in X_+ \cap X_u$, $x \le \|x\|_u u$. If $x \ne 0$ in addition, $\|x\|_u^{-1} x \le u$. Hence $\big\| \|x\|_u^{-1} x \big\| \le c$.

The other direction is obvious.l

(c) Let u be an interior element of X_+. Then $d(u, X \setminus X_+) > 0$. For any $\delta \in (0, d(u, X \setminus X_+))$, we have $u \pm \frac{\delta}{\|x\|} x \in X_+$ for all $x \in \dot{X}$. So $\pm x \le \frac{\|x\|}{\delta} u$ and so $x \in X_u$ and $\|x\|_u \le \frac{\|x\|}{\delta}$. Since this holds for any $\delta \in (0, d(u, X \setminus X_+)$, it also holds for $\delta = d(u, X \setminus X_+)$.

(d) Assume that $X_u = X$ and there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|x\| \ge \epsilon \|x\|_u$ for all $x \in X$. This means that

$$\pm x \le \|x\|_u u \le (1/\epsilon)\|x\| u.$$

Hence $u \pm \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|} x \in X_+$ for all $x \in \dot{X}$. This implies that u is an interior point of X_+.

(e) follows from combining (b) and (c). □

If X_+ is normal, by Theorem 2.1, there exists some $M \ge 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \le M \|x\|_u \|u\|, \qquad x \in X_u. \tag{8.3}$$

If X_+ is a normal and complete cone of X, then $X_+ \cap X_u$ is a complete subset of X_u with the metric induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_u$. For more information see [20, 1.3] [6, I.4], [21, 1.4].

For $u \in X_+$, one can also consider the functionals

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} (x/u)^\diamond = & \inf\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}; x \le \alpha u\} \\ (x/u)_\diamond = & \sup\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}; \beta u \le x\} \end{array}\right\} x \in X,$$

with the convention that $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$ and $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$. If X is a space of real-valued functions on a set Ω,

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} (x/u)^\diamond = & \sup\left\{\frac{x(\xi)}{u(\xi)}; \xi \in \Omega, u(\xi) > 0\right\} \\ (x/u)_\diamond = & \inf\left\{\frac{x(\xi)}{u(\xi)}; \xi \in \Omega, u(\xi) > 0\right\} \end{array}\right\} x \in X.$$

Many other symbols have been used for these two functionals in the literature; see Thompson [39] and Bauer [4] for some early occurrences. For $x \in X_+$, $\|x\|_u = (x/u)^\diamond$. Since we will use this functional for $x \in X_+$ only, we will stick with the notation $\|x\|_u$. Again for $x \in X_+$, $(x/u)_\diamond$ is a nonnegative real number, and we will use the leaner notation

$$[x]_u = \sup\{\beta \geq 0; \beta u \leq x\}, \qquad x, u \in X_+. \tag{8.4}$$

Since the cone X_+ is closed,

$$x \geq [x]_u u, \qquad x, u \in X_+. \tag{8.5}$$

Further $[x]_u$ is the largest number for which this inequality holds.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$. Then the functional $\phi = [\cdot]_u : X_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is homogeneous, order-preserving and concave. It is bounded with respect to the original norm on X and also to the monotone companion norm,*

$$[x]_u \leq \frac{\sharp x \sharp}{\sharp u \sharp} \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\sharp u \sharp}, \qquad x \in X_+,$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_+ = \sharp \phi \sharp_+ \leq \frac{1}{\sharp\, u \sharp}.$$

ϕ is upper semicontinuous with respect to the original norm and

$$\big|[y]_u - [x]_u\big| \leq \|y - x\|_u, \qquad y, x \in X_u \cap X_+.$$

Recall that $\sharp u \sharp = d(u, -X_+)$.

Proof. We apply the monotone companion norm to (8.5),

$$\sharp\, x \sharp \geq [x]_u \sharp\, u \sharp, \qquad x \in X_+.$$

The equality $\|\phi\|_+ = \sharp \phi \sharp_+$ follows from Proposition 5.4. The other properties are readily derived from the definitions. □

See [22] for an in-depth treatment of this functional.

9 Lower Collatz-Wielandt numbers, bounds, and radius

Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and order-preserving. We do not assume that B is continuous at 0; so concepts like the cone spectral radius [24, 26, 27, 30] may not apply. For $x \in \dot{X}_+$, the *lower Collatz-Wielandt number* of x is defined as [16]

$$[B]_x = [Bx]_x = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0; Bx \geq \lambda x\}. \tag{9.1}$$

By (8.5),

$$Bx \geq [B]_x x, \qquad x \in \dot{X}_+. \tag{9.2}$$

The following result is immediate.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $B, C : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving. Let $x \in \dot{X}_+$. Then $[CB]_x \geq [C]_x[B]_x$.*

This implies

$$b_{n+m} \geq b_n b_m, \quad b_n = [B^n]_x, \qquad n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{9.3}$$

The *lower local Collatz-Wielandt radius* of x is defined as

$$\eta_x(B) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} [B^n]_x^{1/n}. \tag{9.4}$$

This implies

$$\eta_x(B^n) \leq (\eta_x(B))^n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{9.5}$$

The *lower Collatz-Wielandt bound* is defined as

$$cw(B) = \sup_{x\in\dot{X}_+} [B]_x, \tag{9.6}$$

and the *Collatz-Wielandt radius* of B is defined as

$$\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \sup_{x\in\dot{X}_+} \eta_x(B). \tag{9.7}$$

9.1 Companion spectral radii

If $B : X_+ \to X_+$ is homogeneous and bounded with respect to the original norm, then, by Proposition 5.1, B is also bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm $\sharp \cdot \sharp$ and $\sharp B \sharp_+ \leq \|B\|_+$. So, we can define *the companion cone spectral radius*, the *companion growth bounds*, and the *companion orbital spectral radius* by

$$\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sharp B^n \sharp_+^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sharp B^n \sharp_+^{1/n} \tag{9.8}$$

and

$$\mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B) = \sup_{x\in X_+} \gamma^\sharp_B(x), \qquad \gamma^\sharp_B(x) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sharp B^n x \sharp^{1/n}. \tag{9.9}$$

Since $\sharp\, x \sharp \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B) \leq \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B), \\ \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_o(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B). \end{aligned} \tag{9.10}$$

If the cone X_+ is normal, the companion norm is equivalent to the original norm and the respective spectral radii equal their companion counterparts. The following proposition implies Theorem 1.8.

Proposition 9.2. *Let B be bounded, homogeneous and order-preserving. Then, for all $x \in \dot{X}_+$,*

$$[B]_x \leq \eta_x(B) \leq \gamma^\sharp_B(x) \leq \gamma_B(x).$$

Further $cw(B) \leq \sharp B \sharp \leq \|B\|$ and

$$cw(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) \leq \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \\ \mathbf{r}_o(B) \end{array} \right\} \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \dot{X}_B$. The first inequality follows from (1.18). Since the cone is closed, $B(x) \geq [B]_x x$. By induction $B^n(x) \geq [B]^n_x x$.

We apply the monotone companion half-norm ψ, which is homogeneous, and obtain $\psi(B^n(x)) \geq [B]^n_x \psi(x)$. Since $\psi(x) > 0$, $\gamma_x(B) \geq \gamma^\sharp_x(B) \geq [B]_x$. Since, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, B^n is homogeneous and order-preserving,

$$[B^n]_x \leq \gamma^\sharp_x(B) \leq \gamma^\sharp_x(B)^n.$$

The last equality follows (3.1). Since this holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (1.18) $\eta_x(B) \leq \gamma^\sharp_x(B)$. The last inequality follows from (9.10).

The remaining assertions follow directly from the definitions. □

The following criteria for the positivity of the lower Collatz-Wielandt bound and the Collatz-Wielandt radius are obvious from their definitions.

Lemma 9.3. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and order-preserving.*

Then $cw(B) > 0$ if and only if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(x) \geq \epsilon x$.

Further $\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) > 0$ if and only if there exists $\epsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B^m(x) \geq \epsilon x$.

Theorem 9.4. *Let X be an ordered normed vector with complete cone X_+ and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, continuous and order preserving. Then $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_o(B)$.*

Proof. Let $r > \mathbf{r}_o(B)$. It is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) < r$. By definition of $\mathbf{r}_o(B)$, $\gamma_B(x) < r$ for all $x \in X_+$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $x \in X_+$, by definition of $\gamma_B(x)$, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$, such that $\|B^n(x)\| \leq r^n$. In other words,

$$X_+ \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq m} C_n, \qquad C_n = \{x \in X_+; \|B^n(x)\| \leq r^n\}.$$

Since B is continuous, the sets C_n are closed with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Since X_+ is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, by the Baire category theorem, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq m$, such that C_n contains a subset of X_+ that is relatively open with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. So there exist some $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X_+$ such that $\|B^n(x + \epsilon z)\| \leq r^n$ whenever $z \in X$, $\|z\| \leq 2$, and $x + \epsilon z \in X_+$. Let $z \in X_+$, $\|z\| \leq 2$. Since $x \in X_+$ and B is order preserving, $B^n(\epsilon z) \leq B^n(x + \epsilon z)$. Since the companion norm is monotone and B is homogeneous,

$$\epsilon \sharp B^n z \sharp \leq \sharp B^n(x + \epsilon z)\sharp \leq \|B^n(x + \epsilon z)\| \leq r^n, \qquad z \in X_+, \|z\| \leq 2.$$

Now let $y \in X_+$ with $\sharp y \sharp = \psi(y) \leq 1$. By definition of the companion half-norm, there exists some $z \in X$ with $z \geq y$ and $\|z\| \leq 2$. Then $z \in X_+$ and, since B is order preserving, $B^n(y) \leq B^n(z)$. Since the companion norm is monotone,

$$\sharp B^n(y)\sharp \leq \sharp B^n(z)\sharp \leq r^n/\epsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $y \in X_+$ with $\sharp y \sharp \leq 1$, $\sharp B^n \sharp_+ \leq r^n/\epsilon$. Since $n \geq m$,

$$\inf_{n \geq m} \sharp B^n \sharp_+^{1/n} \leq r \inf_{n \geq m} \epsilon^{-1/n}.$$

Thus $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sharp B^n \sharp_+^{1/n} \leq r$. □

We conclude this section with a conditions under which the lower KR property implies the KR property (see Definition 1.13). The following definition is similar to the one in [6, III.2.1].

Definition 9.5. Let $\theta : X_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be order-preserving and homogeneous. An order-preserving map $B : X_+ \to X_+$ is called strictly θ-increasing if for any $x, y \in X_+$ with $x \leq y$ and $\theta(x) < \theta(y)$ there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^m(y) \geq (1+\epsilon)B^m(x)$.

B is called strictly increasing if B is strictly θ-increasing where θ is the restriction of the norm to X_+.

Theorem 9.6. *Let $\theta : X_+ \to X_+$ be order-preserving and homogeneous and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be continuous, homogeneous, and strictly θ-increasing. Assume that there is some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(B^p(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence for any increasing sequence (x_n) in X_+ where $\{\theta(x_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. Then B has the KR property whenever it has the lower KR property.*

Proof. Since B is homogeneous, we can assume that $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = 1$ and that there exists some $x \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(x) \geq x$. Then the sequence (x_n) defined by $x_n = B^n(x)$ is increasing. We claim that $(\theta(x_n))$ is bounded. If not, then there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\theta(x_{n-1}) < \theta(x_n)$ where $x_0 = x$. Since B is strictly θ-increasing, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^m(x_n) \geq (1+\epsilon)B^m(x_{n-1})$. By definition of (x_n), $B(y) \geq (1+\epsilon)y$ for $y = B^m(x_{n-1}) \geq x$. Since $y \in \dot{X}_+$, $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \geq cw(B) \geq 1+\epsilon$, a contradiction.

Choose $p \in \mathbb{N}$ according to the assumption of the theorem. We apply the convergence principle in Proposition 2.12. Let S be the set of sequences $(B^p(y_n))$ where (y_n) is a increasing sequence in X_+ such that $(\theta(y_n))$ is bounded. Then S has the property required in Proposition 2.12 and so every increasing sequence in S converges.

Since (x_n) is increasing and bounded, $(B^p(x_n)) \in S$ converges with limit v. Since $B^p(x_n) = x_{n+p}$, $x_n \to v$. Since $x_{n+1} = B(x_n)$ and B is continuous, $B(v) = v$. □

We mention some interesting properties of strictly θ-increasing maps.

Proposition 9.7. *Let $\theta : X_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be order-preserving and homogeneous and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and strictly θ-increasing. Let $r, s > 0$ and $v, w \in X_+$ with $\theta(v) > 0$ and $\theta(w) > 0$.*

(a) *If $B(v) \geq rv$ and $B(w) \leq sw$ and v is w-bounded, then $r \leq s$ and $r = s$ implies $w \geq \frac{\theta(w)}{\theta(v)} v$.*

(b) *If $B(v) = rv$ and $B(w) = sw$ and v and w are comparable, then $r = s$ and $w = \frac{\theta(w)}{\theta(v)} v$.*

Proof. We first assume that $\theta(v) = 1 = \theta(w)$.

(a) Since v is w-bounded, $w \geq [w]_v v$ with $[w]_v > 0$.

Case 1: $\theta(w) = \theta([w]_v v)$.

Then $1 = [w]_v$ and $w \geq v$. So $rv \leq B(v) \leq B(w) \leq sw$. We apply θ and obtain $r \leq s$.

Case 2: $\theta(w) > \theta([w]_v v)$

Since B is strictly θ-increasing, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$sw \geq B(w) \geq (1+\epsilon) B([w]_v v) = (1+\epsilon)[w]_v B(v) \geq (1+\epsilon)[w]_v rv,$$

which implies that $[w]_v \geq (1+\epsilon)(r/s)[w]_v$ and so $r < s$.

In either case $r \leq s$. If $r = s$, the second case cannot occur and the first case holds where $w \geq v$.

(b) From part (a), by symmetry, $r = s$ and $w \geq v$. Again, by symmetry, $w = v$.

If just $\theta(v) > 0$ and $\theta(w) > 0$, we set $\tilde{v} = \frac{1}{\theta(v)} v$ and $\tilde{w} = \frac{1}{\theta(w)} w$. Then $\theta(\tilde{v}) = 1 = \theta(\tilde{w})$ and $B\tilde{v} \geq r\tilde{v}$ and $B\tilde{w} \leq s\tilde{w}$.

We apply the previous considerations to $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{w}$ and obtain the results. □

10 Order-bounded maps

The following terminology has been adapted from various works by Krasnosel'skii [20, Sec.2.1.1] and coworkers [21, Sec.9.4] though it has been modified.

Definition 10.1. Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$, $u \in X_+$. B is called *pointwise u-bounded* if, for any $x \in X_+$, there exist some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $B^n(x) \leq \gamma u$. The point u is called a *pointwise order bound* of B.

B is called *uniformly u-bounded* if there exists some $c > 0$ such that $B(x) \leq c\|x\|u$ for all $x \in X_+$. The element u is called a *uniform order bound* of B.

B is called *uniformly order-bounded* if it is uniformly u-bounded for some $u \in X_+$. B is called *pointwise order-bounded* if it is pointwise u-bounded for some $u \in X_+$.

If $B : X_+ \to X_+$ is bounded and X_+ is solid, then B is uniformly u-bounded for every interior point u of X_+.

Uniform order boundedness is preserved if the original norm $\|\cdot\|$ is replaced by its monotone companion norm $\sharp\cdot\sharp$.

Proposition 10.2. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be order-preserving. Let $u \in X_+$ and B be uniformly u-bounded. Then B is also uniformly u-bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm.*

Proof. Let $x \in X_+$. By (4.3), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $y_n \in X_+$ such that $x \le y_n$ and $\sharp x\sharp \le \|y_n\| \le \sharp x\sharp + (1/n)$. Since B is order-preserving and uniformly u-bounded,

$$B(x) \le B(y_n) \le c\|y_n\|u, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We take the limit as $n \to \infty$ and obtain $B(x) \le c\sharp x\sharp u$. □

Proposition 10.3. *Let X_+ be a complete cone, $u \in X_+$, and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be continuous, order-preserving and homogeneous. Then the following hold.*

(a) B is uniformly u-bounded if for any $x \in X_+$ there exists some $c = c_x \ge 0$ such that $B(x) \le cu$.

(b) If B be pointwise u-bounded, then some power of B is uniformly u-bounded.

Proof. We prove (b); the proof of (a) is similar. Define

$$M_{n,k} = \{x \in X_+; B^n(x) \le ku\}, \qquad n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since B is continuous and X_+ is closed, each set $M_{n,k}$ is closed. Since B is assumed to be pointwise u-bounded, $X_+ = \bigcup_{k,n\in\mathbb{N}} M_{n,k}$. Since X_+ is a complete metric space, by the Baire category theorem, there exists some $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_{n,k}$ contains a relatively open subset of X_+: There exists some $y \in X_+$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $y + \epsilon z \in M_{n,k}$ whenever $z \in X$, $\|z\| \le 1$, and $y + \epsilon z \in X_+$. Now let $z \in X_+$ and $\|z\| \le 1$. Since B is order-preserving and $y + \epsilon z \in X_+$, $B^n(\epsilon z) \le B^n(y + \epsilon z) \le ku$. Since B is homogeneous, for all $x \in \dot{X}_+$,

$$B^n(x) = \frac{\|x\|}{\epsilon} B^n\Big(\frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|}x\Big) \le \frac{k}{\epsilon}\|x\|u.$$ □

11 Upper semicontinuity of the companion spectral radius

In order to be able to compare the companion spectral radius to the upper Collatz-Wielandt bound which will be defined later, some results on the upper semicontinuity of the cone spectral radius are useful. For more results of that kind see [25].

In the following, let X be an ordered normed vector space with cone X_+.

Lemma 11.1. *Let B be bounded and homogeneous, $x \in X_+$, and B be continuous at $B^n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (B_k) be a sequence of bounded homogeneous maps such that $\|B_k - B\|_+ \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and (x_k) be a sequence in X_+ such that $x_k \to x$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_k^n(x_k) \to B^n(x)$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Proof. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_k(x_k) - B(x)\| &\leq \|B_k(x_k) - B(x_k)\| + \|B(x_k) - B(x)\| \\ &\leq \|B_k - B\|_+ \, \|x_k\| + \|B(x_k) - B(x)\| \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

This provides the basis step for an induction proof. The induction step follows in the same way.

Theorem 11.2. *Let $u \in X_+$, $u \neq 0$. Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and bounded and B be continuous at $B^n(u)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (B_k) be a sequence of bounded, homogeneous, order preserving maps such that $\|B_k - B\|_+ \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Assume that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \geq 0$ such that $B_k^m(x) \leq c\|x\|u$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in X_+$. Then*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B_k) \leq \gamma_B^\sharp(u) \leq \mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B).$$

Proof. We can also assume that $\|u\| = 1$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \geq 0$ as in the statement of the theorem. By Proposition 10.2 and its proof,

$$B_k^m(x) \leq c\sharp x\sharp u, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X_+.$$

Since B_k is order-preserving and homogeneous,

$$B_k^{n+m}(x) \leq c\sharp x\sharp B_k^n(u), \qquad k, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X_+.$$

We apply the monotone companion norm,

$$\sharp B_k^{n+m} x\sharp \le \sharp x\sharp \, \sharp B_k^n(u)\sharp, \qquad k,n\in\mathbb{N}, x\in X_+.$$

So

$$\sharp B_k^{n+m}\sharp_+ \le \sharp B_k^n(u)\sharp, \qquad n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Let $r > \gamma_B^\sharp(u)$. Then there exists some $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sharp B^n(u)\sharp < r^n, \qquad n > N.$$

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $n > N$. Since $B_k^n(u) \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} B^n(u)$ by Lemma 11.1, there exists some $k_n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sharp B_k^n(u)\sharp < r^n, \qquad k\ge k_n.$$

We combine the inequalities.

$$\sharp B_k^{n+m}\sharp_+ \le r^n, \qquad k\ge k_n.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B_k) \le \sharp B_k^{n+m}\sharp_+^{1/(n+m)} \le r^{n/(n+m)}, \qquad k\ge k_n.$$

So, for any $n > N$,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B_k) \le r^{n/(n+m)}.$$

We take the limit as $n\to\infty$ and obtain

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \mathbf{r}_+(B_k) \le r.$$

Since this holds for any $r > \gamma_B^\sharp(u)$, the assertion follows. □

12 Upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers

The *upper Collatz-Wielandt number* of B at $x\in\dot{X}_+$ is defined as

$$\|B\|_x = \|B(x)\|_x = \inf\{r\ge 0; B(x)\le rx\}. \tag{12.1}$$

where $\|B\|_x = \infty$ if $B(x)$ is not x-bounded.

Collatz-Wielandt numbers [16], without this name, became more widely known when Wielandt used them to give a new proof of the Perron-Frobenius theorem [43]. We will use them closer to Collatz' original purpose, namely to prove inclusion theorems (Einschließungssätze) for $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ which generalize those in [10, 11].

12.1 Upper local Collatz-Wielandt radius

The *upper local Collatz-Wielandt radius* of $x \in X_+$ is defined as

$$\eta^x(B) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|_x^{1/n}. \tag{12.2}$$

Lemma 12.1. *Let $B, C : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and order-preserving. Let $x \in \dot{X}_+$, and $B(x)$ and $C(x)$ be x-bounded. Then $C(B(x))$ is x-bounded and $\|CB\|_x \le \|C\|_x\|B\|_x$.*

Proof. By (8.2), $C(x) \le \|C\|_x x$ and $B(x) \le \|B\|_x x$ and $CB(x) \le \|B\|_x C(x) \le \|B\|_x\|C\|_x x$; so $\|CB\|_x \le \|B\|_x\|C\|_x$. □

Lemma 12.2. *Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$.*

(a) Then $\eta^u(B^m) \ge (\eta^u(B))^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) If there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^m u$ is u-bounded for all $m \ge k$, then $\eta^u(B) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|B^n\|_u^{1/n} < \infty$ and $\eta^u(B^m) = (\eta^u(B))^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (a) Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\eta^u(B^m) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^{mn}\|_u^{1/n} = (\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^{mn}\|_u^{1/(mn)})^m \ge (\inf_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^k\|_u^{1/k})^m.$$

(b) Now let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^m(u)$ is u-bounded for all $m \ge k$. By Lemma 12.1,

$$c_{n+m} \le c_n c_m, \qquad n, m \ge k, \qquad c_n = \|B^n\|_u.$$

Let r be an arbitrary number such that $\eta^u(B) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|_u^{1/n} < r$. Then there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_m^{1/m} = \|B^m\|_u^{1/m} \le r$. So $B^m(u) \le r^m u$. By applying B^m as often as necessary, we can assume that $m \ge k$.

Any number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 2m$ has a unique representation $n = pm + q$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \le q < 2m$. Then, for $n \ge 2m$,

$$c_n \le c_m^p c_q \le r^{mp} c_q.$$

If $c_q = 0$, then both the limit inferior and the limit are zero and equal. So we can assume that $c_q \ne 0$. We have

$$c_n^{1/n} \le r^{pm/n} c_q^{1/n}.$$

As $n \to \infty$, $pm/n \to 1$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} c_n^{1/n} \le r$. Since r was any number larger than the infimum , the limes superior and inferior coincide and the limit exists and equals the infimum.

The second equality in (b) follows from the fact that every subsequence of a convergent sequence converges to the same limit. □

Remark 12.3. If $B(u)$ is u-bounded, $\|B\|_u$ is the cone norm of B in the space X_u with u-norm. Then $\eta^u(B)$ is the asymptotic growth factor of u taken in X_u.

Theorem 12.4. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving. Let $u \in X_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^k(u) \le \alpha^k u$.*

Let u be a normal point of X_+ or B be power-compact. Then $\gamma_B(u) \le \alpha$.

Proof. Since $\gamma(u, B^k) = (\gamma(u, B))^k$ by (1.14), we can assume that $k = 1$. Since B is homogenous, it is enough to show that $B(u) \le u$ implies that $\gamma_B(u) = \gamma(u, B) \le 1$.

Let $B(u) \le u$. Then $B^n(u) \le u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first assume that u is a normal point of X_+. By Definition 2.3, there exists some $c > 0$ such that $\|B^n(u)\| \le c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\gamma_B(u) \le 1$.

Now assume that B^ℓ is compact for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\gamma_B(u) > 1$. Then the sequence (a_n) with

$$a_n = \|B^n(u)\| \tag{12.3}$$

is unbounded. By a lemma by Bonsall [8], there exists a subsequence a_{n_j} such that

$$a_{n_j} \to \infty, j \to \infty, \qquad a_k \le a_{n_j}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Set $v_j = \frac{1}{a_{n_j}} B^{n_j}(u)$. Then

$$v_j = B^\ell(w_j), \qquad w_j = \frac{1}{\|B^{n_j}(u)\|} B^{n_j - \ell}(u).$$

Now

$$\|w_j\| \le \frac{a_{n_j - \ell}}{a_{n_j}} \le 1.$$

So, after choosing a subsequence, (v_j) converges to some $v \in X_+$, $\|v\| = 1$. Since $B^n(u) \leq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$v_j \leq \frac{1}{a_{n_j}} u.$$

Since $a_{n_j} \to \infty$ and X_+ is closed, we have $v \leq 0$, a contradiction. □

Corollary 12.5. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and $B^k(u)$ be u-bounded for all but finitely many $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that u is a normal point of X_+ or that B is power-compact. Then $\gamma_B(u) \leq \eta^u(B)$.*

Proof. By definition, $B^k(u) \leq \|B^k\|_u u$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\|B^k\|_u < \infty$. By Theorem 12.4, $\gamma_B(u) \leq \|B^k\|_u$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\gamma_B(u) \leq \eta^u(B)$. □

Theorem 12.6. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving. Let $u \in X_+$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^k(u) \leq \alpha^k u$.*

Let u be a normal point of X_+ Then $\mathbf{r}_o(B) \leq \alpha$ if B is pointwise u-bounded, and $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \leq \alpha$ if some power of B is uniformly u-bounded,

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 12.4, we can reduce the proof to the implication

$$B(u) \leq u \implies \mathbf{r}_o(B) \leq 1.$$

Assume that $B(u) \leq u$. Let $x \in X_+$. Since B is pointwise u-bounded, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ such that $B^m(x) \leq cu$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B^{m+n}(x) \leq cB^n(u) \leq cu$. Since u is a normal point of X_+, by Definition 2.3, there exists some $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that $\|B^{m+n}(x)\| \leq c\tilde{c}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\gamma_B(x) \leq 1$. Since $x \in X_+$ has been arbitrary, $\mathbf{r}_o(B) \leq 1$.

If B^m is uniformly u-bounded, we can replace c by $c\|x\|$ and we obtain $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \leq 1$. □

Similarly as for Corollary 12.5, this yields the following result.

Corollary 12.7. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving.*

Let u be a normal point of X_+. Then $\mathbf{r}_o(B) \leq \eta^u(B)$ if B is pointwise u-bounded, and $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \leq \eta^u(B)$ if some power of B is uniformly u-bounded.

For those $x \in X_+$ for which the sequence $\sharp B^n x \sharp^{1/n}$ is bounded, we extend the definition of the *companion growth bound* of the B-orbit of x by

$$\gamma_B^\sharp(x) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sharp B^n(x)\sharp^{1/n} \tag{12.4}$$

and set it equal to infinity otherwise. We extend the definition of the *orbital companion spectral radius* of B by

$$\mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) := \sup_{x\in X_+} \gamma_B^\sharp(x). \tag{12.5}$$

Theorem 12.8. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving, $u \in X_+$. Let B be pointwise u-bounded and assume that there is some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B^n(u)$ is u-bounded for all $n \geq \ell$.*

Then

$$\eta_x(B) \leq \gamma_B^\sharp(x) \leq \gamma_B^\sharp(u) \leq \eta^u(B)$$

for all $x \in X_+$ and

$$cw(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) = \gamma_B^\sharp(u) \leq \eta^u(B).$$

If B^m has the lower KR property for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_B(u) \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B) \leq \eta^u(B)$.

Proof. Let $x \in X_+$. We can assume $x \neq 0$. Since B is pointwise u-bounded, there exists some $k = k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $c = c(x) > 0$ such that $B^k(x) \leq cu$. Since B is order-preserving and homogeneous, $B^n(x) \leq cB^{n-k}(u)$ for all $n > k$. This implies

$$B^n(x) \leq cB^{n-k}(u) \leq c\|B^{n-k}\|_u u.$$

We apply the monotone companion norm,

$$\sharp B^n(x)\sharp \leq c\sharp B^{n-k}(u)\sharp \leq c\|B^{n-k}\|_u \sharp u\sharp.$$

So

$$\sharp B^n(x)\sharp^{1/n} \leq c^{1/n}\sharp B^{n-k}(u)\sharp^{1/n} \leq \|B^{n-k}\|_u^{1/n}\big(c\sharp u\sharp\big)^{1/n}.$$

We take the limit as $n \to \infty$, use Lemma 12.2 (b), recall Proposition 9.2 and obtain the first inequality. The second then follows by taking the supremum over $x \in X_+$ and recalling $cw(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B)$ from Proposition 9.2.

Assume that B is bounded and B^m has the lower KR property for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We can assume that $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$. Then $B^m(v) \geq r^m v$ with $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ and some $v \in \dot{X}_+$. Since B is pointwise u-bounded, there exists some $c > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (which depend on v) such that $B^k(v) \leq cu$. So $r^{m+k}v = B^{m+k}(v) \leq cB^m(u)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r^{m+k+n}(v) \leq cB^{m+n}(u)$. Then

$$r^{k+j}\|v\|_u \leq c\|B^j\|_u, \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq m.$$

So

$$r \leq (c/r^k\|v\|_u)^{1/j}\|B^j\|_u^{1/j}, \qquad j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq m.$$

Taking the limit as $j \to \infty$ yields the desired result. □

Theorem 12.9. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, bounded and order-preserving, $u \in X_+$. Let some power of B be uniformly u-bounded.*

Then

$$cw(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) = \mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B) = \gamma_B^\sharp(u) = \eta^u(B) \leq \gamma_B(u) \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B).$$

Under additional assumptions, the following hold:

- *If u is a normal point in X_+, then $\eta^u(B) = \mathbf{r}_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \gamma_B(u) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|B^n(u)\|^{1/n}$.*
- *If some power of B has the lower KR property, then $\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \eta^u(B) = \gamma_B(u) = \mathbf{r}_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.*
- *If B has the lower KR property, then $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \eta^u(B) = \gamma_B(u) = \mathbf{r}_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that B^k is uniformly u-bounded. By Proposition 10.2, B^k is also uniformly u-bounded with respect to the monotone companion norm. Then there exists some $c > 0$ such that $B^k(x) \leq c\sharp x\sharp u$ for all $x \in X_+$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since B is order-preserving and homogeneous,

$$\begin{aligned} B^{k+n}(x) =& B^k(B^n(x)) \leq \sharp B^n(x)\sharp cu, \\ B^{k+n}(x) =& B^n(B^k(x)) \leq c\sharp x\sharp B^n(u). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers,

$$\|B^{k+n}\|_u \leq \sharp B^n(u)\sharp c, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (12.2),

$$(\eta^u(B))^{(k+n)/n} \le \sharp B^n(u)\sharp^{1/n} c^{1/n}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We take the limit as $n \to \infty$,

$$\eta^u(B) \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \sharp B^n(u)\sharp^{1/n} \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|B^n(u)\|^{1/n}. \tag{12.6}$$

This implies $\eta^u(B) \le \gamma_B^\sharp(u)$. The other inequality follows from Theorem 12.8.

Let u be a normal point. By Corollary 12.7,

$$\eta^u(B) \ge \mathbf{r}_+(B) \ge \mathbf{r}_o(B) \ge \gamma_B(u) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|B^n(u)\|^{1/n}.$$

Together with (12.6), this implies equalities.

Since the companion norm is order-preserving,

$$\sharp B^{k+n}(x)\sharp \le c\sharp x\sharp \; \sharp B^n(u)\sharp$$

and

$$\sharp B^{k+n}\sharp \le c\sharp B^n(u)\sharp, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since B is bounded, $\mathbf{r}_+^\sharp(B) \le \gamma_B^\sharp(u)$.

The other statements now follow from the previous theorems. □

In view of estimating the cone spectral radius from above the following observation may be of interest.

Corollary 12.10. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be a homogeneous, bounded, order-preserving map. Assume that X_+ is normal and complete or some power of B has the lower KR property.*

Then $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ is a lower bound for all upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers $\|B\|_u$ where $u \in \dot{X}_+$, $B(u)$ is u-bounded and B is pointwise u-bounded.

Proof. Combine the previous theorems with (12.2) and recall that $\mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ if X_+ is normal and complete. □

12.2 The upper Collatz-Wielandt bound

Let $u \in \dot{B}_+$ and $B(u)$ be u-bounded. Then $B(x)$ is x-bounded for any u-comparable $x \in X_+$.

So we define the upper Collatz-Wielandt bound with respect to u by

$$CW_u(B) = \inf\{\|B\|_x; x \in X_+, x \sim u\}. \tag{12.7}$$

If $x \in X_+$ and $x \sim u$, $\eta^x(B) = \eta^u(B)$. Since $\eta^x(B) \le \|B\|_x$,

$$\eta^u(B) \le CW_u(B). \tag{12.8}$$

We have the following inequalities from Theorem 12.8 and Theorem 12.9.

Theorem 12.11. *Let B be homogeneous and order-preserving. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$, $B(u)$ be u-bounded and B be pointwise u-bounded. Then*

$$cw(B) \le \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) \le \eta^u(B) \le CW_u(B).$$

Lower KR property of the map turns some of the inequalities in equalities (Theorem 12.9).

Theorem 12.12. *Let B be homogeneous, bounded, and order-preserving and some power of B have the lower KR property. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and some power of B be uniformly u-bounded. Then*

$$\mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \eta^u(B) \le CW_u(B).$$

12.3 Monotonicity of the spectral radii and the Collatz-Wielandt radius

If the cone X_+ is normal, the cone and orbital spectral radius are increasing functions of the homogeneous bounded order-preserving maps (cf. [1, L.6.5]). Collatz-Wielandt numbers, bounds, and radii and the companion radii are increasing functions of the map even if the cone is not normal.

Theorem 12.13. *Let $A, B : X_+ \to X_+$ be bounded and homogeneous. Assume that $A(x) \le B(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$ and that A or B are order-preserving.*

Then $cw(A) \le cw(B)$, $\mathbf{r}_{cw}(A) \le \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B)$, $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(A) \le \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B)$ $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(A) \le \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B)$. Further, for all $x \in X_+$, $\|A\|_x \le \|B\|_x$ and $\eta^x(A) \le \eta^x(B)$.

If $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and $A(u)$ and $B(u)$ are u-bounded, then $CW_u(A) \le CW_u(B)$.

If X_+ is a normal cone, then also $\mathbf{r}_+(A) \le \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ and $\mathbf{r}_o(A) \le \mathbf{r}_o(B)$.

Proof. We claim that $A^n(x) \leq B^n(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n = 1$, this holds by assumption. Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume the statement holds for n. If A is order-preserving, then, for all $x \in X_+$, since $B^n(x) \in X_+$,

$$A^{n+1}(x) = A(A^n(x)) \leq A(B^n(x)) \leq B(B^n(x)) = B^{n+1}(x).$$

If B is order-preserving, then, for all $x \in X_+$, since $A^n(x) \in X_+$,

$$A^{n+1}(x) = A(A^n(x)) \leq B(A^n(x)) \leq B(B^n(x)) = B^{n+1}(x).$$

Since the companion norm is order-preserving, $\sharp A^n(x)\sharp \leq \sharp B^n(x)\sharp$ for all $x \in X_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further $\sharp A^n\sharp_+ \leq \sharp B^n\sharp_+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(A) \leq \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B)$. Further $\gamma^\sharp_A(x) \leq \gamma^\sharp_B(x)$ and so $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(A) \leq \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B)$.

If X_+ is normal, the respective order radii taken with the original norm coincide with those taken with the companion norm.

As for the Collatz-Wielandt radius,

$$B^n x \geq A^n x \geq [A^n]_x x.$$

By (9.1), $[B^n]_x \geq [A^n]_x$, and the claim follows from (9.7) and (9.4). The proofs for $\|\cdot\|_x$, η^x, and CW_u are similar. □

Proof of Theorem 1.15. By Theorem 12.13, $cw(A) \leq cw(B)$. The assertion now follows from Theorem 1.14. □

12.4 The upper Collatz-Wielandt bound as eigenvalue

Conditions which make $CW_u(B)$ an eigenvalue of B with positive eigenvector and imply equality between all these numbers including $CW_u(B)$ can be found in [1, Thm.7.3]. Using the companion half-norm ψ, one can drop that the cone is normal and complete provided that the map is compact. Solidity of the cone can be replaced by the weaker assumption that the map is uniformly u-bounded.

Theorem 12.14. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be continuous, compact, homogeneous, and order-preserving. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and B be uniformly u-bounded.*

Then $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \eta^u(B) = CW_u(B)$.

If $r = CW_u(B) > 0$, then there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_u$ such that $B(v) = rv$.

Remark 12.15. If X_+ is complete, we also obtain this result if we replace compactness of B by the assumptions in Theorem 1.10 with part (a) or by assumption (ii) in [1, Thm.7.3].

More generally, the following holds.

Theorem 12.16. *Let $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous and order-preserving. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and B be uniformly u-bounded and continuous at $B^n(u)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume there is some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, the maps B_ϵ, $B_\epsilon(x) = B(x) + \epsilon\psi(x)u$, have eigenvectors $B_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = \lambda_\epsilon v_\epsilon$ with $v_\epsilon \in \dot{X}_+$ and $\lambda_\epsilon > 0$.*

Then $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \geq \gamma_u(B) \geq CW_u(B) = \eta^u(B)$ with equality holding everywhere if u is a normal point of X_+ or some power of B has the lower KR property.

Further, if B has the KR property and $CW_u(B) > 0$, there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(v) = CW_u(B)v$.

Proof. Choose a sequence (ϵ_n) in $(0, \epsilon_0)$ with $\epsilon_n \to 0$. Set $B_n = B_{\epsilon_n}$. The maps B_n inherit uniform u-boundedness from B.

By assumption, there exist $v_n \in \dot{X}_+$ and $r_n > 0$ such that $B(v_n) + \epsilon_n\psi(v_n)u = r_n v_n$. Since B is uniformly u-bounded and $\psi(v_n) > 0$, v_n is u-comparable. By (12.8), $r_n \geq CW_u(B_n)$. Also $r_n \leq cw(B_n)$ by (1.20). By Theorem 12.11 and Theorem 12.9, $\eta^u(B_n) = \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B_n) = CW_u(B_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, $CW_u(B_n) \geq CW_u(B)$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) < CW_u(B)$. Since $\epsilon_n \to 0$, $\|B_n - B\|_+ \to 0$. By Theorem 11.2, $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B_n) < CW_u(B)$ for large n, a contradiction.

So $\mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \geq CW_u(B)$. By Theorem 12.9, also $\eta^u(B) = \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B)$. Since $\eta^u(B) \leq CW_u(B)$, we have $\eta^u(B) = CW_u(B)$. The other inequalities follow from Theorem 12.9.

If some power of B has the lower KR property, equality holds by Theorem 12.12.

If u is a normal point of X_+, equality follows from Theorem 12.9.

Assume that B has the KR property and $CW_u(B) > 0$. Then $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = CW_u(B) > 0$ and there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(v) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)v$. □

The equality $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = CW_u(B)$ guarantees that, at least in theory, one can get arbitrarily sharp estimates of $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ from above in terms of upper Collatz-Wielandt numbers $\|B\|_x$ by choosing an appropriate $x \in \dot{X}_+$ for which $B(x)$ is x-bounded and B pointwise x-bounded (Corollary 12.10).

Crude attempts in this direction are made for the rank-structured discrete population model with mating in Section 14.

The idea of perturbing the map B as above or in a similar way is quite old; see [31, Satz 3.1] and [40, Thm.3.6].

Theorem 12.17. *Let X_+ be complete. Assume that $B = K + A$ where $K : X_+ \to X_+$ is compact, homogeneous, continuous and order preserving and $A : X \to X$ is linear, positive and bounded and $\mathbf{r}(A) < \mathbf{r}_+(B)$. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and B be uniformly u-bounded.*

Then $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \eta^u(B) = CW_u(B)$.

If $r = CW_u(B) > 0$, then there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_u$ such that $B(v) = rv$.

Proof. For $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$, we define $B_\epsilon : X_+ \to X_+$ by $B_\epsilon(x) = B(x) + \epsilon\psi(x)u$ where ψ is the companion half-norm. Then $B_\epsilon = K_\epsilon + A$ with $K_\epsilon(x) = K(x) + \epsilon\psi(x)u$. Then K_ϵ is compact, continuous, order-preserving and homogeneous.

Since A is linear and bounded, $B_\epsilon^n = K_{n,\epsilon} + A^n$ with compact, continuous, homogeneous, order-preserving maps $K_{n,\epsilon}$.

If n is chosen large enough, $\|A^n\| < \mathbf{r}_+(B^n)$. By Theorem 1.10 (a), some power of B has the KR property. Since $B(x) \le B_\epsilon(x)$ for all $x \in X_+$, $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = cw(B) \le cw(B_\epsilon) \le \mathbf{r}_+(B_\epsilon)$ by Theorem 1.15.

So $\mathbf{r}(A) < \mathbf{r}_+(B) \le \mathbf{r}_+(B_\epsilon)$. By Theorem 1.10 (a), for large enough n, there exist eigenvectors $v_\epsilon \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B_\epsilon^n(v_\epsilon) = r_\epsilon^n v_\epsilon$ with $r_\epsilon = \mathbf{r}_+(B_\epsilon)$.

It is easy to see that, for $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, B_ϵ is strictly ψ-increasing and that B_ϵ^n inherits this property. Set $w_\epsilon = B_\epsilon(v)$. Then $B_\epsilon^n(w_\epsilon) = r_\epsilon^n w_\epsilon$. Since B_ϵ is uniformly u-bounded and $\psi(v_\epsilon) > 0$, w_ϵ is u-comparable. Since $B_\epsilon^k(v_\epsilon) \in \dot{X}_+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_\epsilon^n(v_\epsilon)$ is u-comparable and so v_ϵ and w_ϵ are comparable.

By Lemma 9.7, $B_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = \alpha_\epsilon v_\epsilon$ for some $\alpha_\epsilon > 0$ which must then equal r_ϵ.

Since v_ϵ is u-comparable $r_\epsilon = cw(B_\epsilon) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B_\epsilon) = \mathbf{r}_+(B_\epsilon) = CW_u(B_\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$.

By Theorem 12.16, $CW_u(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = \eta^u(B) = \gamma^u(B)$.

Now choose a decreasing sequence (ϵ_k) in $(0, 1]$ with $\epsilon_n \to 0$. Then $r_k = \mathbf{r}_+(B_{\epsilon_k})$ form a decreasing sequence with $r_k \ge CW_u(B)$. Suppose $CW_u(B) > 0$. Set $v_k = v_{\epsilon_k}$. We can assume that $\|v_k\| = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$r_k v_k = K(v_k) + A(v_k) + \epsilon_k \psi(v_k) u.$$

Let $r = \lim_{k\to\infty} r_k$. Since K is compact,

$$(r - A)v_k = (r - r_k)v_k + K(v_k) + \epsilon_k \psi(v_k) u$$

converges as $k \to \infty$ after choosing a subsequence. Since $r \geq CW_u(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B) > br_+(A)$, $(r-A)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(1/r)^{j+1}A^k$ exists as a continuous additive homogeneous map and acts as the inverse of $r - A$. This implies that $v_k \to v$ for some $v \in X_+$, $\|v\| = 1$. Since B is continuous, $rv = B(v)$ which implies that $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$. Then $r \leq cw(B)$ and equality follows. If $CW_u(B) = 0$, equality holds anyway. □

13 Monotonically compact order-bounded maps on semilattices

As before, let X be an ordered normed vector space with cone X_+.

Definition 13.1. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$. $B : X_+ \to X_+ \cap X_u$ is called *antitonically u-compact* if $(B(x_n))$ has a convergent subsequence for each decreasing sequence (x_n) in X_+ for which there is some $c > 0$ such that $x_n \leq cu$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

B is called *monotonically u-compact* if $(B(x_n))$ has a convergent subsequence for each monotone sequence (x_n) in X_+ for which there is some $c > 0$ such that $x_n \leq cu$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If X_+ is regular (Section 2), then every order-preserving homogeneous $B : X_+ \to X_+$ is monotonically u-compact for any $u \in X_+$.

Definition 13.2. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$, $B : X_+ \to X_+$ and $B(u)$ be u-bounded. B is called antitonically continuous at $x \in X_+$ if, for for any decreasing sequence (x_k) in X_+ with $x \leq x_k \leq cu$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (with some $c > 0$ independent of k) and $\|x_k - x\| \to 0$, we have $\psi(B(x_k) - B(x)) \to 0$.

B is called monotonically continuous at $x \in X_+$ with for every monotone sequence (x_k) in X_u with $x_k \leq cu$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (with some $c > 0$ independent of k) and $\|x_k - x\| \to 0$, we have $\sharp B(x_k) - B(x)\sharp \to 0$.

Recall the definition of a minihedral cone in Section 1.1 ($x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$ exists for all $x, y \in X_+$) and the upper local Collatz-Wieland spectral radius at $u \in X_+$,

$$\eta^u(B) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|_u^{1/n}.$$

Theorem 13.3. *Let X_+ be a minihedral cone and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be order-preserving and homogeneous. Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$, and $B(u)$ be u-bounded. Assume*

that B is antitonically u-compact and antitonically continuous. Finally assume that $\eta^u(B) > 0$ and $\|B(y_n)\|_u \to 0$ for any decreasing sequence (y_n) in $X_u \cap X_+$ with $\|y_n\| \to 0$.

Then there exists some $x \in \dot{X}_+$, such that $B(x) \geq \eta^u(B)x$ and $\eta^u(B) = \mathbf{r}_o^\sharp(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = cw(B)$.

The first part of the proof has been adapted from [21, L.9.5] where B is assumed to be a linear operator on the ordered Banach space X and the cone X_+ to be normal. Use of the monotone companion metric allows to drop normality as assumption. However, without u being a normal point of the cone, compactness of B may not imply monotonic compactness.

Proof of Theorem 13.3. Let $u \in X_+$ such that $B(u)$ is u-bounded. Since B is homogeneous, we can assume that $\eta^u(B) = 1$. Otherwise, we consider $\frac{1}{\eta^u(B)}B$. We define

$$x_0 = u, \qquad x_k = y_k \wedge u, \quad y_k = B(x_{k-1}) + 2^{-k}u, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{13.1}$$

Then $x_k \leq u = x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By induction, since B is order-preserving, $x_{k+1} \leq x_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We apply the convergence principle in Proposition 2.12 with S being the set of sequences $(B(v_n))$ with (v_n) being decreasing and $v_1 \leq cu$ for some $c > 0$. S has the properties requested in Proposition 2.12. Since B is antitonically u-compact, every sequence in S has a convergent subsequence. So every sequence in S converges.

Since $(B(x_n)) \in S$, there exists some $z \in X_+$ such that $(B(x_k))$ converges to z as $k \to \infty$ and $B(x_k) \geq z$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (13.1), $y_k \to z$. Further

$$z \leq B(x_{k-1}) \leq y_k \leq B(u) + 2^{-k}u.$$

By (13.1),

$$x_k = y_k \wedge u \geq z \wedge u =: x.$$

Notice that

$$y_k \wedge u + z - y_k \leq z \wedge u = x.$$

So

$$0 \leq x_k - x \leq y_k - z, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{13.2}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}\sharp B(x_k) - B(x)\sharp =& \psi(B(x_k) - B(x)) \leq \psi(B(y_k - z + x) - B(x)) \\ \leq& \|B(y_k - z + x) - B(x)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Also $x \le y_k - z + x \le B(u) + u + x \le (c\|u\| + 2)u$. Recall that $(y_k - z + x)$ converges x with respect to the the original norm.

Since B is antitonically continuous, $(B(x_k))$ converges to $B(x)$ with respect to the monotone companion norm. Since $B(x_k) \to z$ with respect to the monotone companion norm, we have $B(x) = z \ge x$.

Moreover, $x = z \wedge u = B(x) \wedge u$.

It remains to show that $x \neq 0$. Suppose that $x = 0$. Then $z = B(x) = 0$. Recall that $x_k = y_k \wedge u$ and $\|y_k\| \to 0$. Since B is order-preserving, $\|B(x_k)\|_u \le \|B(y_k)\|_u \to 0$ with the latter holding by assumption.

So there exists some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B(x_{k-1}) + 2^{-k}u \le u$ for all $k \ge m$. Hence

$$x_k = B(x_{k-1}) + 2^{-k}u = y_k, \qquad k \ge m.$$

In particular, $2^m x_m \ge u$ and $x_k \ge B(x_{k-1})$ for all $k \ge m$. Since B is order-preserving and homogeneous,

$$2^m x_{m+n} \ge B^n(2^m x_m) \ge B^n(u)$$

and

$$2^m B(x_{m+n}) \ge B^{n+1}(u).$$

Now $2^m B(x_{m+n}) \le (1/2)u$ for sufficiently large n. This shows that, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B^{n+1}(u) \le (1/2)u$ and $\|B^{n+1}\|_u \le 1/2$. By Lemma 12.2, $\eta^u(B) = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|B^n\|_u^{1/n} < 1$, a contradiction.

This shows that $x \neq 0$ and $B(x) \ge x$. Then $B^n(x) \ge x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (9.1), $[B^n]_x \ge 1$ and, by (9.7), $cw(B) \ge 1 = \eta^u(B) \ge \mathbf{r}^\sharp_+(B) \ge \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) \ge cw(B)$. □

Theorem 13.4. *Let the cone X_+ be a lattice and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be order-preserving and homogeneous. Further let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and some power of B be monotonically u-compact and continuous and some power of B be uniformly u-bounded. Finally assume that $r = \eta^u(B) > 0$.*

Then there exists some $x \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(x) \ge rx$ and $\eta^u(B) = \mathbf{r}^\sharp_o(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = cw(B)$.

Proof. Replacing B by $\frac{1}{\eta^u(B)}B$, we can assume that $\eta^u(B) = 1$. Let B^m be monotonically u-compact and B^ℓ be uniformly u-bounded. Set $p = m + \ell$. Then B^p is monotonically u-compact and uniformly u-bounded and continuous. By Theorem 13.3, there exists some $w \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B^p(w) \ge w$. By Proposition 1.12, there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(v) \ge v$. □

Recall the definition of B being strictly increasing in Definition 9.5.

Theorem 13.5. *Let X_+ be a lattice and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be monotonically continuous, strictly increasing, and homogeneous. Further assume that a power of B is monotonically u-compact and some power is uniformly u-bounded for some $u \in \dot{X}_+$. Finally assume that $r = \eta^u(B) > 0$.*

Then there exists some $x \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(x) = rx$.

Proof. We can assume that $\eta_u(B) = 1$. By Theorem 13.3, there exists some $x \in X_+$, $\|x\| = 1$ such that $B(x) \geq x$. Then the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ in X_+ defined by $x_n = B^n(x)$ is increasing. The same proof as for Theorem 9.6 implies that $\{\|x_n\|; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a bounded set in $\mathbb{R}$.

Set $x_0 = x$. Then $x_n = B(x_{n-1})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since some power of B is uniformly u-bounded, there exists some $c \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \leq c\|x_{n-m}\|u = cu$ for $n \geq m$. Since some power of B is monotonically u-compact and $(x_n) = (B^n(x))$ is increasing, a similar application of the convergence principle in Proposition 2.12 provides that $x_n \to y$ for some $y \in X_+$ with $\|y\| = 1$. Since B is monotonically continuous, $\psi(B(y) - B(x_n)) \to 0$. So $\psi(B(y) - x_{n+1}) \to 0$. Then $\sharp y - B(y)\sharp \leq \sharp y - x_{n+1}\sharp + \sharp x_{n+1} - B(y)\sharp = \psi(y - x_{n+1}) + \psi(B(y) - x_{n+1}) \to 0$. Thus $y = B(y)$. □

Proposition 13.6. *Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and $B : X_+ \to X_+ \cap X_u$ be homogeneous and order-preserving. Further let B be monotonically u-compact, uniformly u-bounded and monotonically continuous.*

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and ψ the companion half-norm. Set $B_\epsilon(x) = B(x) + \epsilon\psi(x)u$.

Then there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B_\epsilon(v) = r_\epsilon v$ with $r_\epsilon = \eta^u(B_\epsilon) = CW_u(B_\epsilon) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B_\epsilon) = cw(B_\epsilon) > 0$.

Proof. One readily checks that B_ϵ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 13.3 and $r_\epsilon = \eta^u(B_\epsilon) \geq \epsilon > 0$.

We can assume that $r_\epsilon = 1$. By Theorem 13.3, there exists some $w \in X_+$, $\psi(w) = 1$, such that $B_\epsilon w \geq w$. Let $w_n = B_\epsilon^n(w)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then (w_n) is an increasing sequence in $X_+ \cap X_u$. We claim that $\psi(w_n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose not. Then there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi(w_n) > \psi(w_{n-1})$. Then there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$B_\epsilon(w_n) \geq B_\epsilon(w_{n-1}) + \delta u.$$

Since $B_\epsilon(w_{n-1}) \in X_u$, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$B_\epsilon(w_n) \geq (1 + \epsilon)B_\epsilon(w_{n-1}).$$

This implies $\eta^u(B_\epsilon) \geq 1 + \epsilon$, a contradiction. Since B is uniformly u-bounded, it is also uniformly u-bounded with respect to the companion half-norm ψ by Proposition 10.2. So there exists some $c > 0$ such that $w_n = B(w_{n-1}) + \epsilon u \leq cu$. Since (w_n) is increasing and B_ϵ is monotonically u-compact, $w_{n+1} = B_\epsilon(w_n) \to v$ for some $v \in X_+$, $\psi(v) = 1$. Since B_ϵ is monotonically continuous, $v = B_\epsilon(v)$ and $1 \leq cw(B_\epsilon) \leq \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B_\epsilon) \leq \eta^u(B_\epsilon)$. Since $v \geq \epsilon u$ and B is uniformly u-bounded, v is u-comparable. This implies $CW_u(B_\epsilon) \leq 1 = \eta^u(B)$. Since $CW_u(B_\epsilon) \geq \eta^u(B_\epsilon)$, we have equality. □

Theorem 13.7. *Let $u \in \dot{X}_+$ and $B : X_+ \to X_+$ be homogeneous, order-preserving and continuous. Assume that B is uniformly u-bounded monotonically u-compact.*

Then $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \geq CW_u(B) = \eta^u(B)$ with equality holding if u is a normal point of X_+ or a power of B has the lower KR property. If $r := CW_u(B) > 0$, there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ such that $B(v) \geq rv$.

Proof. By Theorem 12.9, $\mathbf{r}_+(B) \geq \gamma_B(u) \geq \eta^u(B)$ with equality holding if u is a normal point of X_+ or some power of B has the lower KR property. By (12.8), $\eta^u(B) \leq CW_u(B)$.

So, if $CW_u(B) = 0$, the assertion holds, and we can assume that $CW_u(B) > 0$.

Choose a sequence (ϵ_n) in $(0, 1)$ with $\epsilon_n \to 0$. Let $B_n : X_+ \to X_+$ be given by $B_n(x) = B(x) + \epsilon_n \psi(x) u$. We combine Proposition 13.6 and Theorem 12.16 and obtain $\eta^u(B) = CW_u(B) \leq \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.

If u is a normal point of X_+ or some power of B has the lower KR property, $\eta_u(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$ which implies $CW_u(B) = \mathbf{r}_+(B)$.

Assume that $r := CW_u(B) > 0$. Then $\eta^u(B) = r > 0$ and there exists some $v \in \dot{X}_+$ with $B(v) \geq rv$ by Theorem 13.3. □

14 Application to a rank-structured population model with mating

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an ordered normed vector space with cone $X_+ = X \cap \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}_+$. Assume that the norm has the property that $x_j \leq \|x\|$ for all $x = (x_j) \in X_+$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $X \subseteq \ell^\infty$ and $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$.

Define a map $B : X_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$, $B(x) = (B_j(x))$, by

$$\left.\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &= q_1 x_1 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} \min\{x_j, x_k\} \\ B_j(x) &= \max\{p_{j-1}x_{j-1}, q_j x_j\}, \qquad j \geq 2 \end{aligned}\right\} x = (x_j) \in X_+. \tag{14.1}$$

Here $p_j, q_j \geq 0$, $\beta_{j,k} \geq 0$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The dynamical system $(B^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be interpreted as the dynamics of a rank-structured population and, in a way, is a discrete version (in a double sense) of the two-sex models with continuous age-structure in [17, 18]. $B_1(x)$ is the number of newborn individuals who all have the lowest rank 1. Procreation is assumed to require some mating. Mating is assumed to be rank-selective and is described by taking the minimum of individuals in two ranks. The numbers β_{jk} represent the fertility of a pair when the female has rank j and the male has rank k where a 1:1 sex ratio is assumed at each rank. The maps B_j, $j \geq 2$, describe how individuals survive and move upwards in the ranks from year to year where one cannot move by more than one rank within a year. If the population size is modeled in number of individuals one would assume that $p_j, q_j \leq 1$, but if it is modeled in biomass, then such an assumption would not be made. However, an individuals at rank j is at least $j-1$ years old, and so mortality eventually wins the upper hand such that the assumption $p_j \to 0$ and $q_j \to 0$ is natural.

Since $B_j(x) \leq (p_{j-1} + q_j)\|x\|$ for $j \geq 2$, B is u-bounded with respect to $u = (u_j)$ with

$$u_1 = 1, \qquad u_j = p_{j-1} + q_j, \quad j \geq 2, \tag{14.2}$$

provided that $u \in X$.

If we choose $X = \ell^1$, it is sufficient to assume that

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} < \infty \qquad \text{or} \qquad \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} < \infty \tag{14.3}$$

If $X = \ell^\infty$, it is sufficient to assume that

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} < \infty. \tag{14.4}$$

It does not appear that (14.4) can be improved for $X = c_0$ or $X = bv$.

B is compact on X and uniformly u-bounded if

$$\begin{cases} u \in \ell^p, & X = \ell^p, p \in [1, \infty) \\ u \in c_0, & X = c_0, c, \ell^\infty \\ (p_j), (q_j) \in bv \cap c_0, & X = bv, bv \cap c_0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that, if $x^n = (x_j^n)$ is a bounded sequence in X, then it is a bounded sequence in ℓ^∞ and, after a diagonalization procedure, has a subsequence (y^m) such that $(y_j^m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Apparently, $X = \ell^\infty, c, c_0$ require the weakest assumption in terms of u. It is not clear, however, whether an eigenvector in any of these three spaces would also be in bv if $(q_j), (p_j) \in bv \cap c_0$. If $u \in \ell^1$, then u is also in all the other spaces we have considered, and $cw(B) = \mathbf{r}_{cw}(B) = \eta^u(B) = CW_u(B)$ in all spaces with the radii and bounds not depending on the space. If X is normal, then also $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = cw(B)$ does not depend on the space. For $X = bv$, we have $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = cw(B)$ as well provided that $(p_j), (q_j) \in bv \cap c_0$ such that B is compact also in bv or in $bv \cap c_0$.

To derive estimates for the cone spectral radius of B, one runs into algebraic difficulties very soon except when attempting $cw(B)$. Let x^n be the sequence where the first n terms are 1 and all others zero. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [B]_{x^1} =& q_1 + \beta_{11}, \\ [B]_{x^m} =& \min\Big\{q_1 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \beta_{j,k},\ \inf_{j=2}^{m} \max\{p_{j-1}, q_j\}\Big\}, \quad m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let e^m be the sequence where the m^{th} term is one and all other terms zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [B]_{e^1} =& q_1 + \beta_{11}, \\ [B]_{e^m} =& q_m, \qquad m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $cw(B)$ is an upper bound for all these numbers, we obtain the following two conditions for $cw(B) > 0$ each of which is sufficient:

Condition 1: $q_1 + \beta_{11} > 0$ or $q_j > 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j > 1$.

Condition 2: For some $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m = \max\{j, k\}$, we have $\beta_{jk} > 0$ and $p_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$.

Actually, at least one of these conditions must be satisfied for $\mathbf{r}_+(B) > 0$ to hold. If condition 1 fails, then

$$\left.\begin{array}{ll} B_1(x) = & \displaystyle\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} \min\{x_j, x_k\} \\ B_j(x) = & p_{j-1}x_{j-1}, \qquad j \geq 2 \end{array}\right\} x = (x_j) \in X_+. \tag{14.5}$$

If $r = \mathbf{r}_+(B) = cw(B) > 0$, then there exists some $x \in \dot{X}_+$ with $(1/r)B(x) = x$. $(1/r)B$ corresponds to the map where all parameters are divided by r. This division does not affect our assumptions and conditions. So we can assume that $B(x) = x$, $x \in \dot{X}_+$. By (14.5),

$$x_j = p_{j-1}x_{j-1}, \quad j \geq 2.$$

By recursion,

$$x_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} p_j x_1, \qquad j \geq 2.$$

Since $x_1 = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} \min\{x_j, x_k\}$, failure of condition 2 implies $x_1 = 0$ which implies $x = 0$. This contradiction tells us that $\mathbf{r}_+(B) = 0$ if both condition 1 and 2 fail.

In order to find estimates of $\mathbf{r}_+(B)$ from above, we can use Corollary 12.10.

Take the sequence e where all terms are 1 for $X = \ell^\infty$ or $X = bv$ provided that $\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} < \infty$. Then

$$\mathbf{r}_+(B) \leq \eta^e(B) \leq \|B(e)\|_e = \max\Big\{q_1 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk}, \sup_{m\geq 2} \max\{p_{m-1}, q_m\}\Big\}.$$

We obtain this estimate also for $X = c_0, bv \cap c_0, \ell^1$ provided that there exist $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $c > 0$ such that $\max\{p_{m-1}, q_m\} \leq c\theta^m$ for all $m \geq 2$. Then B is uniformly w-bounded for $w = (\theta^n)$ and

$$\mathbf{r}_+(B) \leq \|B\|_w = \max\Big\{q_1 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk}\theta^{j+k-1}, \sup_{m\geq 2} \max\{p_{m-1}/\theta, q_m\}\Big\}.$$

This estimate also holds for all $\tilde{\theta} \in (\theta, 1)$, and so we can take the limit for $\theta \to 1$ and obtain the previous estimate.

We obtain another estimate if there exist $\alpha > 1$ and $c > 1$ such that $\max\{p_{m-1}, q_m\} \le m^{-\alpha}$ for all $m \ge 2$. Then B is uniformly w-bounded for $w = n^{-\alpha}$ and

$$\mathbf{r}_+(B) \le \|B\|_w = \max\Big\{q_1 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk} j^{-\alpha} k^{-\alpha}, \sup_{m\ge 2} \max\{p_{m-1}(m/m-1)^{\alpha}, q_m\}\Big\}.$$

This estimate also holds for all $\tilde{\alpha} \in (1, \alpha)$, so we can take the limit $\alpha \to 1$.

As an illustration of Theorem 1.3, we assume that β_{jk}, p_j and q_j are functions of $x \in X_+$ and let $F(x)$ be $B(x)$ with this functional dependence.

We assume that $p_{j-1}(x) + q_j(x) \to 0$ uniformly for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ as $\|x\| \to \infty$, further $\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk}(x) \to 0$ as $\|x\| \to \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ still to be chosen and choose $c > 0$ such that

$$\left.\begin{aligned} p_{j-1}(x) + q_j(x) &\le \epsilon \\ \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk}(x) &\le \epsilon \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad \|x\| \ge c.$$

Choose $A : X \to X$, $A(x) = (A_j(x))$,

$$\left.\begin{aligned} A_1(x) &= \epsilon x_1 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_{jk} x_j \\ A_j(x) &= \epsilon(x_{j-1} + x_j), \qquad j \ge 2 \end{aligned}\right\} x = (x_j) \in X_+. \tag{14.6}$$

Here $\tilde{\beta}_{jk} = \sup_{\|x\|\ge c} \beta_{jk}(x)$. Then $B(x) \le A(x)$ whenever $\|x\| \ge c$. Choose $\epsilon < 1/2$ if $X = \ell^\infty$ and $\epsilon < 1/3$ if $X = \ell^1$. Then $\|A\| < 1$ and F is point-dissipative by Theorem 1.3.

To make F compact in $X = \ell^1$, we assume that for any $c > 0$ there exists $u \in \ell^1$ such that $\sup_{k\ge 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jk}(x) \le u_1$ and $p_{j-1}(x) + q_j(x) \le u_j$ for $j \ge 2$ and all $x \in X_+$ with $\|x\| \le c$. Under these assumptions, the discrete semiflow (F^n) has a compact attractor of bounded sets.

Acknowledgement. I thank Wolfgang Arendt, Karl-Peter Hadeler, and Roger Nussbaum for useful hints and comments.

References

[1] Akian, M., Gaubert, S., Nussbaum, R.D., A Collatz-Wielandt characterization of the spectral radius of order-preserving homogeneous maps on cones, arXiv:1112.5968v1 [math.FA]

[2] Arendt, W., P.R. Chernoff, T. Kato, A generalization of dissipativity and positive semigroups, J. Operator Theory **8** (1982), 167-180

[3] Bacaër, N., E.H. Ait Dads, Genealogy with seaonality, the basic reproduction number, and the influenza pandemic, *J. Math. Biol.* **62** (2011), 741-762

[4] Bauer, F.L., An elementary proof of the Hopf inequality for positive operators, *Numer. Math.* **7** (1965), 331-337

[5] Birkhoff, G., Extensions of Jentzsch's Theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 219-226

[6] Bohl, E., *Monotonie: Lösbarkeit und Numerik bei Operatorgleichungen*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1974

[7] Bonsall, F.F., Endomorphisms of a partially ordered vector space without order unit, *J. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1955), 133-144

[8] Bonsall, F.F., Linear operators in complete positive cones, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1958), 53-75

[9] Bonsall, F.F., Lectures on Some Fixed Point Theorems of Functional Analysis, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 1962

[10] Collatz, L., Einschließungssatz für die Eigenwerte von Integralgleichungen, *Math. Z.* **47** (1942), 395-398

[11] Collatz, L., Einschließungssatz für die charakteristischen Zahlen von Matrizen, *Math. Z.* **48** (1942), 221-226

[12] Cushing, J.M., Y. Zhou, The net reproductive value and stability in matrix population models, *Nat. Res. Mod.* **8** (1994), 297-333

[13] Diekmann, O.; J. A. P. Heesterbeek, J. A. J. Metz, On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R_0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations, *J. Math. Biol.* **28** (1990), 365-382

[14] Dunford, N., J.T. Schwartz, Linear Operators. Part I. General Theory, John Wiley, Classics Library Edition, New York, 1988

[15] Eveson, S.P., R.D. Nussbaum, Applications of the Birkhoff-Hopf theorem to the spectral theory of positive linear operators, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **117** (1995), 491-512

[16] Förster, K.-H., B. Nagy, On the Collatz-Wielandt numbers and the local spectral radius of a nonnegative operator, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **120** (1980), 193-205

[17] Hadeler, K.P., Pair formation in age-structured populations, *Acta Appl. Math.* **14** (1989), 91-102

[18] Iannelli, M., M. Martcheva, F.A. Milner, *Gender-Structured Population Models: Mathematical Methods, Numerics, and Simulations*, SIAM, Philadelphia 2005

[19] Jin, W., H.R. Thieme, Persistence and extinction of diffusing populations with two sexes and short reproductive season, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical System - B* 19 (2014), 3209-3218

[20] Krasnosel'skij, M.A., *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations,* Noordhoff, Groningen 1964

[21] Krasnosel'skij, M.A., Lifshits, Je.A., Sobolev, A.V. *Positive Linear Systems: The Method of Positive Operators*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin 1989

[22] Krause, U., *Positive Dynamical Systems in Discrete Time. Theory, Models and Applications*, De Gruyter, Berlin 2015

[23] Krein, M.G., M.A. Rutman, Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.)* **3** (1948), 3-95, English Translation, *AMS Translation* 1950 (1950), No. 26

[24] B. Lemmens, R.D. Nussbaum, *Nonlinear Perron-Frobenius Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2012.

[25] Lemmens, B., R.D. Nussbaum, Continuity of the cone spectral radius, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 2741-2754

[26] Mallet-Paret, J., R.D. Nussbaum, Eigenvalues for a class of homogeneous cone maps arising from max-plus operators, *Discr. Cont. Dyn. Sys. (DCDS-A)* **8** (2002), 519-562

[27] Mallet-Paret, J., R.D. Nussbaum, Generalizing the Krein-Rutman theorem, measures of noncompactness and the fixed point index, *J. Fixed Point Theory and Appl.* **7** (2010), 103-143

[28] Mallet-Paret, J., R.D. Nussbaum, Asymptotic fixed point theory and the beer barrel theorem, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **4** (2008), 203-245

[29] Nussbaum, R.D., Eigenvectors of nonlinear positive operators and the linear Krein-Rutman theorem, *Fixed Point Theory* (E. Fadell and G. Fournier, eds.), 309-331, Springer, Berlin New York 1981

[30] Nussbaum, R.D., Eigenvectors of order-preserving linear operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* **2** (1998), 480-496

[31] Schaefer, H.H., Positive Transformationen in lokalkonvexen halbgeordneten Vektorräumen, *Math. Ann.* **129**, 1955, 323-329

[32] Schaefer, H.H., Halbgeordnete lokalkonvexe Vektorräume. II. *Math. Ann.* **138** (1959), 259-286

[33] Schaefer, H.H., *Topological Vector Spaces*, Macmillan, New York 1966

[34] Schaefer, H.H., *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1974

[35] Smith, H.L., H.R. Thieme, *Dynamical Systems and Population Persistence*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 2011

[36] Thieme, H.R., Spectral bound and reproduction number for infinite dimensional population structure and time-heterogeneity, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **70** (2009), 188-211

[37] Thieme, H.R. Eigenvectors and eigenfunctionals of homogeneous order-preserving maps, ArXiv http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.3905v1 [math.FA], 2013

[38] Thieme, H.R., Spectral radii and Collatz-Wielandt numbers for homogeneous order-preserving maps and the monotone companion norm, *Ordered Structures and Applications* (M. de Jeu, B. de Pagter, O. van Gaans, M. Veraar, eds.), Birkhäuser (to appear)

[39] Thompson, A.C., On certain contraction mappings in a partially ordered vector space, *Proc. AMS* **14** (1963), 438-443

[40] Thompson, A.C., On the eigenvectors of some not-necessarily-linear transformations, Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 577-598

[41] Tromba, A.J., The beer barrel theorem, a new proof of the asymptotic conjecture in fixed point theory, *Functional Differential Equations and Approximations of Fixed Points* (H.-O. Peitgen, H.-O. Walther, eds.), 484-488, Lecture Notes in Math. 730, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1979

[42] van den Driessche, P., J. Watmough, Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission, *Math. Biosci.* **180** (2002), 29-48

[43] Wielandt, H., Unzerlegbare, nicht negative Matrizen, *Math. Z.* **52** (1950), 642-648

[44] Yosida, K., *Functional Analysis*, sec. ed., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1965-1968